

Literature on performance auditing and Supreme Audit Institutions

October 2022

A - D	2
E - H.....	15
I - L	24
M - O	33
P - R.....	43
S - U.....	50
V - Z.....	58

Dr. Vital Put

Councillor

Belgian Court of Audit

A - D

1. Adams, N. (1986), Efficiency auditing in the Australian National Audit Office, *Australian Journal of Public Administration*, 3, 189-199
2. Adi, S., Dutil, P. (2018), Searching for strategy: Value for Money (VFM) audit choice in the new public management era, *Canadian Public Administration*, Vol. 61, no. 1, 91–108
3. Aerts, E. (1996), *Geschiedenis en archief van de Rekenkamers : overzicht van de archieven en verzamelingen van het Algemeen rijksarchief*. Algemeen Rijksarchief, Brussel, 534 p.
4. Aerts, E., Vandenbulcke, A. (1997), *Administreren en controleren door de eeuwen heen – De rekenkamers in de Zuidelijke Nederlanden (14^e – 18^e eeuw); catalogus bij de gelijknamige tentoonstelling in het Algemeen Rijksarchief van 5 november 1997 tot 30 januari 1998*, Algemeen Rijksarchief en Rijksarchief in de Provinciën, Educatieve Dienst, Brussel
5. Aerts, E. (1999), ‘Spanje of Oranje? Loyale en dissidente rekenkamers in Brabant en Vlaanderen bij het begin van de Tachtigjarige Oorlog (1577-1585)’, in: *Miscellanea archivistica. Studia 118*, Algemeen Rijksarchief en Rijksarchief in de Provinciën, Brussel, 9-46
6. AFROSAI-E (2020), *SAI Resilience in addressing the auditor expectation gap during disaster periods: The case of sub-Saharan SAIs during the COVID-19 pandemic*. Research paper, 40 p.
7. Agh, A. (2020), *The troubled history of Hungary within the EU: The Rocky Road of successes and failures*, 43 p. (pre-publication from a book on Eastern enlargement)
8. Ahlbäck Ö. S., Bringselius, L. (2015), *Professionalism and Organizational Performance in the Wake of New Managerialism*, *European Political Science Review*, Volume 7, Issue 4, 499-523
9. Ahlberg, F., Horstead, O. (2022), *Externalizing auditing in the public sector. Reasons and effects of externalization of the audit function in the case of municipalities in Skåne, Sweden*, Master Thesis, 83 p.
10. Ahonen, P., Koljonen, J. (2020), *The contents of the National Audit Office of Finland performance audits, 2001-2016*, *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting and Financial Management*, Vol. 32, No. 1, 49-66.
11. Albert, J.L, Lambert, T. (2017), *La Cour des comptes, un pouvoir rédempteur ?* LGDJ, 178 p.
12. AL-Mutairi, A., Kamal Naser, K., AL-Haji, A. (2019), *Important factors influencing the effectiveness of Kuwait State Audit Bureau (KSAB)*, *Academy of Accounting and Financial Studies*, Vol. 23, Issue 5, 2019 1-13
13. Alon, G. (2007), *State Audit and the Media as the Watchdogs of Democracy - A Comparative View*, *Iyunim - The Periodical of the Office of the State Comptroller and Ombudsman*, Volume 61, 55-100
14. Alwardat, Y. A. (2010) *External auditors and clients: an investigation of perceptions of value for money (VfM) audit practices in the UK public sector*. PhD thesis, University of Westminster, Harrow Business School, 280p.
15. Alwardat, Y., Benamraoui, A., Rieple, A. (2015). *Money and Audit Practice in the UK Public Sector*, *International Journal of Auditing*, Vol. 19, p. 206-217

16. Alwardat, Y.A., Basheikh, A.M. (2017), The Impact of Performance Audit on Public Administrations in Saudi Arabia: An Exploratory Study, *International Journal of Business and Management*; Vol. 12, No. 12, 144-157
17. André Carlos Busanelli de Aquino, A.C.B., André Feliciano Lino, Ricardo Rocha de Azevedo, Polyana Batista da Silva (2022), Digital affordances and remote public audit practice, *Financial Accountability & Management*, 447–467
18. Antipova, T., (2019), Digital public sector auditing: a look into the future, *Quality-Access to Success*, Vol. 20 No. 1, 441-446
19. Aquino, L., Azevedo. (2022). Digital affordances and remote public audit practice. *Financial Accountability & Management*.
20. Arena, M., Jeppesen, K. (2010), The Jurisdiction of Internal Auditing and the Quest for Professionalization: The Danish Case, *International Journal of Auditing*, 14, 111–129
21. Arena, M., Jeppesen, K. (2016), Practice Variation in Public Sector Internal Auditing: An Institutional Analysis, *European Accounting Review*, 25:2, 319-345
22. Arnaboldi, M.A., Lapsley, I. (2008), Making Management Auditable: The Implementation of Best Value in Local Government, *Abacus*, Vol. 44, Issue 1, 22–47
23. Arthur, A., Rydland, L.T., Amundsen, K. (2012), The User Perspective in Performance Auditing—A Case Study of Norway, *American Journal of Evaluation*, 33 – 44
24. Assakaf, E.A., Samsudin, R.S. (2018), Public Sector Auditing and Corruption: A Literature Review, *Asian Journal of Finance & Accounting*, Vol. 10, No. 1, 226-241
25. Aucoin, P. (1998), *Auditing for Accountability: The Role of the Auditor General*, Institute On Governance Occasional Paper Series, 25 p.
26. Azuma, N. (2003), The Role of the Supreme Audit Institutions in NPM: International Trend, *Government Auditing Review*, Board of Audit of Japan, March 2003, 85-106
27. Azuma, N. (2004), Performance Measurement of Supreme Audit Institutions, *Government Auditing Review*, Board of Audit of Japan, March 2004, 65-94
28. Azuma, N. (2005), The Role of the Supreme Audit Institutions in New Public Management (NPM): the Trend of Continental Countries, *Government Auditing Review*, Board of Audit of Japan, March 2005, 69-84
29. Backhaus, J.G. (1994), The economic functions of supreme auditing institutions: Purpose of the conference, *European Journal of Law and Economics*, No 2, 81-84
30. Backhaus, J.G. (2007), *Fiscal Sociology: Public Auditing*, Peter Lang Publishing, 213 p.
31. Baez, D. (2022), Is there an audit gap in EU banking supervision? *Journal of Banking Regulation*, 23, 66–78
32. Baker, C. R. (2014). A comparative analysis of the development of the auditing profession in the United Kingdom and France. *Accounting History*, 19(1–2), 97–114
33. Balls, H.R. (1978), The watchdog of Parliament: the centenary of the legislative audit, *Canadian Public Administration*, Volume 21, Issue 4, 584-617

34. Baber, W. (1983), Toward understanding the role of auditing in the public sector”, *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, Vol. 5, 213-227
35. Balli, R.(2016). The Role of Performance Audit on Performance Improvement and Accountability in the Audited Public Sectors of Oromia National Regional State, Thesis, Department of Accounting and Finance, Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia, 69 p.
36. Barrett, P. (2010), Performance auditing - what value, *Public Money & Management*, Vol. 30, Issue 5, 271 – 278
37. Barrett, P. (2011), Where You Sit Is What You See: The Seven Deadly Sins of Performance Auditing. Implications for Monitoring Public Audit Institutions, *Australian Accounting Review*, Volume 21, Issue 4, 397–405
38. Barret, P. (2012), Performance auditing—addressing real or perceived expectation gaps in the public sector, *Public Money & Management*, March, 129-136
39. Barret, P. (2018), New development: Parliamentary ‘watchdogs’ taking a higher profile on government programme performance and accountability? *Public Money & Management*, 6 p.
40. Barrett, P. (2021): New development: Whither the strategic direction of public audit in an era of the ‘new normal’?, *Public Money & Management*, 5 p.
41. Bartel, R., Schneider, F. (1990), Efficiency and Effectiveness Control Based on Economic Analysis: The Example of the Austrian Court of Audit, 36 p.
42. Barton, A. (2008), New Labour’s Management, Audit and ‘What Works’ Approach to Controlling the ‘Untrustworthy’ Professions, *Public Policy and Administration*, 263–277
43. Barzelay, M. (1997), Central Audit Institutions and Performance Auditing: A Comparative Analysis of Organizational Strategies in the OECD, *Governance: An International Journal of Policy, Administration and Institutions*, Vol. 10, No. 3, 235-260
44. Bawole, J.N., Ibrahim, M. (2015), Contesting Claims on Measuring Performance in the Public Sector Using Performance Audits: Evidence from the Literature, *Public Organization Review*, 15 p.
45. Bawole, J.N., Ibrahim, M. (2017), Value-For-Money Audit for Accountability and Performance Management in Local Government in Ghana, *International Journal of Public Administration*, Vol.40 (7), 598-611
46. Beauchamp, C. (1990), National Audit Office: its role in privatization, *Public Money & Management*, Summer, 55-58
47. Beauregard, N. (2004), Le Vérificateur général du Québec et la vérification d'optimisation des ressources: évolution et perspectives, *Canadian Public Administration*, Issue 2, 207-224
48. Beckers, J. (1998), Rond de tafel. Het Rekenhof. Interview met Jos Beckers, Raadsheer bij het Rekenhof, *Vlaams Tijdschrift voor Overheidsmanagement*, Vol. 3, iss. 4, 4 – 7
49. Behn, R.D. (2001), *Rethinking Democratic Accountability*, Brookings Institution Press, Washington D.C., 317 p.

50. Bemelmans-Videc, M.L. (1998), De Algemene Rekenkamer: controlenormen en - stijlen in een veranderende bestuurlijke context, in Hertogh, M.L.M., Huls, N.J.H., Wilthagen, A.C.J.M., Omgaan met de onderhandelende overheid. Rechtstaat, onderhandelend bestuur en controle. Amsterdam University Press, 221 p., 89-117
51. Bemelmans-Videc, M.L., Fenger, H.J.M. (1999), Harmonizing Competing Rationalities in Evaluating Governance. Knowledge, Technology & Policy, Vol. 12 Issue 2, 38-51
52. Bemelmans-Videc, M.L. (2000), Over het beoordelen van “goed” bestuur. In: Nelissen, N., Goverde, H., Van Gestel, N., Bestuurlijk vermogen. Analyse en beoordeling van nieuwe vormen van besturen. Uitgeverij Coutinho, 452 p., 355-383
53. Bemelmans-Videc, M.L., de Vries, M. (2010), Ontwikkelingen in de evaluatie van overheidshandelen, in: Aardema, H., Derksen, W., Herweijer, M., de Jong, P. (red.), Meerwaarde van de bestuurskunde. Liber Amicorum voor prof. dr. Arno F.A. Korsten, Boom Lemma uitgevers, Den Haag, 301 p., 127-135
54. Bemelmans-Videc, M.L., Lonsdale, J., Perrin, B. (2007), Making accountability work: dilemmas for Evaluation and for Audit, Transaction Publishers, U.S., New Jersey, 296 p.
55. Benner, M. (2012), Die Rechnungshöfe als Change Agents: Eine Untersuchung der Möglichkeiten, Grenzen und Anforderungen als Beitrag zur Modernisierung der externen Finanzkontrolle, 114 p.
56. Benzerafa-Alilat, M., Tandilashvili, N., Friscia, M. (2021), New development: Diversification of the Cour des comptes’ activities—a necessary modernization or an identity evolution?, Public Money & Management, 41:6, 491-493
57. Bergel, P. (2010), Rechnungshöfe als vierte Staatsgewalt? Verfassungsvergleich der Rechnungshöfe Deutschlands, Frankreichs, Österreichs, Spaniens, des Vereinigten Königreichs und des Europäischen Rechnungshofs im Gefüge der Gewaltenteilung, Universitätsdrucke Göttingen, 253 p.
58. Bergman, C. (2007), Newspaper Coverage of Issues Raised in Reports of the Israeli State Comptroller, Lyunim - The Periodical of the Office of the State Comptroller and Ombudsman, Volume 61, 101 - 129
59. Berry, F.S., Turcotte, J.W., Latham, S.C. (2002), Program Evaluation in State Legislatures: Professional Services Delivered in a Complex, Competitive Environment, New Directions for Evaluation, No. 95, Fall, 73-88
60. Bezruki, D., Mueller, J., McKim, K. (1999), Legislative Utilization of Evaluations, New Directions for Evaluation, No 81, Spring, 11-22
61. Bizjak, T., Novak, R., Vudrag, M., Kukec, A., Kontic, B. (2020), Evaluating the success of Slovenia’s policy on the health of children and adolescents: results of an audit, International Journal of Public Health, 65, 1225–1234
62. Bini, M. (2019), Models of mandate in public audit: an examination of Australian jurisdictions, Public Money & Management, 39:3, 201-208
63. Blume, L., Voigt, S. (2007), Supreme Audit Institutions: Supremely Superfluous ? - A Cross Country Assessment, 25 p.

64. Blume, L., Voigt, S. (2011), Does organizational design of supreme audit institutions matter? A cross-country assessment, *European Journal of Political Economy*, 27(2), 215-229
65. Blume, L., Voigt, S. (2013), The Economic Effects of Constitutional Budget Institutions, *European Journal of Political Economy*, 29, 236-251
66. Board of Audit of Japan (2018), History of the Board of Audit from 1880 to 2010, Board of Audit of Japan, 690 p.
67. Boas, T.C., Hidalgo, F.D., Melo, M.A. (2018), Horizontal But Not Vertical: Accountability Institutions and Electoral Sanctioning in Northeast Brazil ,Working paper, 29 p.
68. Bolden, R., O'Regan, N (2018), Leadership and Creativity in Public Services: An Interview With Lord Michael Bichard, Chair of the National Audit Office, *Journal of Management Inquiry*, Vol. 27(1), 45–51
69. Bourn, J (2007). *Public Sector Auditing: Is it Value for Money ?* John Wiley & Sons, 426 p.
70. Bonollo, E. (2013), National Audit Courts in a Public Sector under Stress: From “Watchdog” to “Sheepdog” Function, *Proceedings of 22nd International Business Research Conference*, Madrid, Spain, 9 - 10 September 2013, 18 p.
71. Bonollo, E. (2019), Measuring supreme audit institutions’ outcomes: current literature and future insights, *Public Money & Management*, 10 p.
72. Bouckaert, G. (2017), Overheidsaudit met een toekomst: zeven voorwaarden, *Vlaams Tijdschrift voor Overheidsmanagement*, nr. 3, 5-8
73. Bouckaert, G., Put, V. (2018), Financieel management, in: *Handboek Overheidsmanagement*, 485 p., p. 239-260
74. Bovens, M., Schillemans, T., ‘T Hart, P. (2008), Does public accountability work ? An assessment tool, *Public Administration*, Vol. 86, No. 1, 225-242
75. Bovens, M., Wille, A. (2021), Indexing watchdog accountability powers a framework for assessing the accountability capacity of independent oversight institutions, *Regulation & Governance*, 15, 856–876
76. Bowerman, M. (1994), The National Audit Office and the Audit Commission: cooperation in areas where their VFM responsibilities interface, *Financial Accountability and Management*, 10 (1), 47-63
77. Bowerman, M. (1996), The rise and fall of value for money auditing, in: Lapsley, I., Mitchell, F. (eds.), *Accounting and Performance Measurement – Issues in the Private and Public Sectors*, Paul Chapman Publishing, London, 193-212
78. Bowerman, M., Helen, R, Humphrey, C. (2000), In Search of the Audit Society: Some Evidence from Health Care, Police and Schools, *International Journal of Auditing*, 4, 71-100
79. Bowerman, M., Humphrey, C. (2001), Should non-financial performance information be audited ? – the case of public service agreements in UK government, *Australian Accounting Review*, 11, 3, 35-43

80. Bowerman, M., Humphrey, C. (2002), Limiting the Scope of Central Government Audit: A Constitutional Problem or a Sensible Solution ? , in Montesinos, V., Vela, J.M. (eds.), *Innovations in Governmental Accounting*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 331-341
81. Bowerman, M., Humphrey, C., Owen, D. (2003), Struggling for supremacy: the case of UK public audit institutions, *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, Vol. 14 Issue 1/2, 1-22
82. Brenninkmeijer, A. Debets, R., Hock B., Moonen, G. (2017), The application of audit standards in ECA's work, *Tilec Discussion Paper*, 16 p.
83. Brenninkmeijer, A. Moonen, G., Debets, R., Hock B. (2018), Auditing Standards and the Accountability of the European Court of Auditors (ECA), *Utrecht Law Review*, Volume 14, Issue 1, 2018, 17 p.
84. Bringselius, L. (2008), *Personnel Resistance in Public Professional Service Mergers: the Merging of Two National Audit Organizations*, Lund Studies in Economics and Management Lund: Lund Business Press, Ph D, 336 p.
85. Bringselius, Louise (2010). *Impact at Any Price? The National Audit Office (NAO) and the Media*. Paper presented at The International Research Society for Public Management (IRSPM) 2010, Berne, Switzerland.
86. Bringselius, L. (2011), *Performance audit at the Swedish Supreme Audit Institution (SAI)*. The Lund Institute of Economic Research Report Series, no. 2011-01. Lund: Lund University, 23 p.
87. Bringselius, L. (2014), *The Dissemination of Results from Supreme Audit Institutions: Independent Partners with the Media?*, *Financial Accountability & Management*, 30(1), 75-94
88. Bringselius, L. (2015), *In the absence of a Public Accounts Committee*, in: Zahirul Hoque (ed.), *Making Governments Accountable: The Role of Public Accounts Committees and National Audit Offices*, London/New York, Routledge, 342 p.
89. Bringselius, Lemne, M. (2015), *Appointments Shrouded in Mystery. The profiles of ten Swedish Auditors-General*. A paper to the Lund Public Management Workshop 24th of September 2015 at Lund University, 18 p.
90. Bringselius, L. (2016). *Riksrevisionens effektivitetsgranskningar: Kvalitet ur ett intressentperspektiv*. Lund University, 15 p.
91. Bringselius, L., Lonsdale, J. (2016), *Auditing in the public sector: The Challenges of Supreme Audit Institutions*. Routledge, 192 p.
92. Bringselius, L., Lemne, M. (2017), *What Qualifications does Good State Audit Require? : The Profiles of Ten Auditors-General*, *Statsvetenskaplig tidskrift*, 111-136
93. Bringselius, L. (2018), *Efficiency, Economy and Effectiveness – but What about Ethics? : Supreme Audit Institutions at a Critical Juncture*, *Public Money & Management*, Vol.38(2), 105-110
94. Broadbent, J., Laughlin, R. (1997), *Evaluating the "New Public Management" Reforms in the UK : a Constitutional Possibility*, *Public Administration*, Vol. 75, 487-507
95. Broadbent, J., Laughlin, R. (2003), *Control and Legitimation in Government Accountability Processes: The Private Finance Initiative in the UK*, *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 23-48

96. Broadbent, J., Gill, J., Laughlin, R. (2008), Identifying and controlling risk: The problem of uncertainty in the private finance initiative in the UK's National Health Service, *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 19, 40–78
97. Brodrick, O. (2004), How does an auditor general's office see itself, *Canadian Public Administration*, 225-242
98. Brooks, R.C., Pariser, D.B. (1995), Audit recommendation follow-up systems: a survey of the states, *Public Budgeting & Finance*, Vol. 15 No. 1, 72-83
99. Brooks, R.A. (1996), Blending Two Cultures: State Legislative Auditing and Evaluation, *New Directions for Program Evaluation*, No. 71, San Francisco, Jossey-Bass Publishers, p. 15-28
100. Brown, R. (1979), The effectiveness of legislative programme review, *Transaction Publishers*, 157 p.
101. Brown, R. (1980), Auditing and public administration: the unrealized partnership, *Public Administration Review*, May / June, 259-265
102. Brown, R. (1985), On the State of State Auditing: Analysis, Reflections. *Public Budgeting & Finance*, Summer 1985, 75-88
103. Brown, R. (1988), State Evaluation in a Legislative Environment: Adapting Evaluation to Legislative Needs, *New Directions for Program Evaluation*, No. 38, San Francisco, Jossey-Bass Publishers , 49-61
104. Bruce, D. (2011), Measuring outputs, neglecting outcomes. The Auditor General's role in SAPS performance assessments, *SA Crime Quarterly* no 38, 3-13
105. Brunsson, N., Jacobsson, B. (2005), *A world of standards*, Oxford University Press, 198 p.
106. Brusca, I., Caperchione, E., Cohen, S., Manes-Rossi, F. (2018), IPSAS, EPSAS and Other Challenges in European Public Sector Accounting and Auditing, in: Ongaro, E., Van Thiel, S. (eds), (2018), *The Palgrave Handbook of Public Administration and Management in Europe*, Palgrave Macmillan, 1302 p., p. 165-185
107. Bryson, J. (2017), *Strategic Planning for Public and Nonprofit Organizations: A Guide to strengthening and sustaining organizational achievement*, Fifth edition, Wiley & Sons, 544 p.
108. Bunn, M., Gilchrist, D. J. (2013), A few good men: Public sector audit in the Swan River Colony, 1828–1835. *Accounting History*, 18(2), 193–209
109. Bunn, M. (2017), *The Development of Public Sector Audit Independence: The Colonial Experience in Western Australia*, Ph D thesis, Curtin University, 274 p.
110. Bunn, M., Pilcher, R., Gilchrist, D. (2018), Public sector audit history in Britain and Australia, *Financial Accountability & Management*, 34:64–76
111. Campbell-Smith, D. (2008), *Follow the money: The Audit Commission, Public Money and the Management of Public Services (1983-2008)*, Penguin Books, 768 p.
112. Candela, A.A. (2015), The impact of Performance Audit Reports on Media and Parliament, *Masterpaper, Master of European Politics and Policies*, 2014-2015, 75. p.

113. Canello, J., Hidalgo, F.D., Lima, R. Can Politicians Police Themselves? Natural Experimental Evidence from Brazil's Audit Courts, February 23, 2015, 34 p.
114. Capdeboscq, G. (2018), La Cour des comptes en mai 1968, *Gestion & Finances Publiques*, Issue 3, 6-9
115. Carlos Alberto Sampaio de Freitas, Tomás de Aquino Guimarães (2007), Isomorphism, Institutionalization and Legitimacy: Operational Auditing at the Court of Auditors, *Brazilian Administration Review*, Vol. 4, n. 1, 35-50
116. Carlos Moreno Jiménez, J., Maricela Trujillo Flores, M., Lámbarry Vilchis, F. (2019), The prevalence of occupational burnout in the government auditors of Mexico, a gender perspective, *Contaduría y Administración* 64 (4), 1-22
117. Carnegie, G. D., West, B. P. (2005). Making accounting accountable in the public sector. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 16(7), 905–928
118. Carrington, T. (2017), Consulting or holding to account?: The Swedish National Audit Office as an agent of change in public administration, *Statsvetenskaplig Tidskrift*, Vol. 119, no 1, 91-109
119. Caruana, J., Kowalczyk, M., Public Sector Auditing in Malta and Poland: a tale of the expected, unpublished paper, s.d., 19 p.
120. Caruana, J., Kowalczyk, M. (2021), The Quest for Audit Quality in the Public Sector, *Accounting in Europe*, 18:1, 1-25
121. Cesario, G., Cardoso, R.L., Aranha, R.S. (2020), The surveillance of a supreme audit institution on related party transactions, *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting and Financial Management*, Vol. 32 No. 4, 577-603
122. Chan, M. X., Corderoy, C. J., & van Peurse, K. A. (2016), An institutional lens on Auditor-General roles in two Pacific nations: Samoa and Tonga. *Centre for Accounting, Governance & Taxation Research*, Victoria University of Wellington, No. 107, 36 p.
123. Chandrashekharan, R. K. (1990). *The comptroller and auditor general of India: An analytical history (1947–89) (Vol. I)*. New Delhi: APH
124. Charles, B.K., Oluoch, O. (2017), Determinants of the effectiveness of the Auditor-General in Kenya in public financial management oversight, *The Strategic Journal of Business & Change Management*, Vol. 4, Iss. 2 (7), 98 - 112
125. Chelimsky, E. (1991), Comparing and Contrasting Auditing and Evaluation, in Friedberg, A., Geist, B., Mizrahi, N., Sharkansky, I. (eds.), *State Accountability and Audit: A Book of Readings*. Jerusalem, State Comptroller's Office, 136-152
126. Chelimsky, E. (1996), *Auditing and Evaluation: Whither the Relationship*, *New Directions for Program Evaluation*, No. 71, San Francisco, Jossey-Bass Publishers, 61-67
127. Chelimsky, E. (2007), On Fulfilling the Accountability Purpose in Audit and Evaluation: Integrating Politics and Public Involvement, in: United Nations, *Auditing for Social Change: A Strategy for Citizen Engagement in Public Sector Accountability*, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, New York, 2007, 201 p., 115 – 142

128. Chelimsky, E. (2007), Factors Influencing the Choice of Methods in Federal Evaluation Practice, *New Directions for Evaluation*, 113, Spring, 13-33
129. Chelimsky, E. (2009). Integrating evaluation units into the political environment of government: The role of evaluation policy. In Trochim, W.M.K., Mark, M. M. & Cooksy L. J. (Eds.), *Evaluation policy and evaluation practice*. *New Directions for Evaluation*, 123, 51–66
130. Chinyere, N., Goodness, E. (2020), Public Accountability and the Challenges of Democratic Governance in Nigeria: A Critical Exposition (2010-2018) *International Digital Organization for Scientific Research*, 63-72
131. Chong, H.G.N Vinten, G. (1998), Harmonisation of government audits in the people’s republic of China, *Managerial Auditing Journal*, Vol. 13 No. 3, 159-164
132. Chong, H. (2009), Audit independence and objectivity: evidence from the Chinese national audit office, *Social Science Research Network*, 19 p.
133. Chouvel, R. (2021), *Le contrôle externe des comptes et de la gestion des collectivités locales dans l'Union européenne*, LGDJ, 600 p.
134. Chowdhury, Shahad (2016), *State audit as an aid to good governance*, University of Dhaka, Thesis presented for the doctor of philosophy degree, 254 p.
135. Christensen, M., Skærbæk, S. (2007), Framing and overflowing of public sector accountability innovations. A comparative study of reporting practices, *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, Vol. 20 No. 1, 101-132
136. Christensen, T., Lægreid, P, Roness, P.G. (2002), Increasing Parliamentary Control of the Executive? New Instruments and Emerging Effects, *The Journal of Legislative Studies*, 8:1, 37-62
137. Chwastiak, M. (2013), Profiting from destruction: The Iraq reconstruction, auditing and the management of fraud, *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 32-43
138. Clark, D. (2001), The Changing Face of Audit and Evaluation in Government: a Franco-British Comparison, *Public Policy and Administration*, Vol. 16, No. 4, 20-33
139. Clark, C., De Martinis, M. (2003), Transformation of public sector auditing in Southern African countries: comparing the independence and accountability of Supreme Audit Institutions, *Journal of Public Administration*, Vol. 38, No. 2, 118-132
140. Clark, C., De Martinis, Krambia-Kapardis (2007), Audit quality attributes of European Union supreme audit institutions, *European Business Review*, Vol. 19, Issue 1, 40-71
141. Clark, I.D., Swain, H. (2005), Distinguishing the real from the surreal in management reform: suggestions for beleaguered administrators in the government of Canada, *Canadian Public Administration*, Vol. 48, No. 4, 453-476
142. Colquhoun, P. (2011), *The Production of Legitimacy in New Zealand Local Government Auditing*, 22 p.
143. Colquhoun, P. (2013), Political and organisational legitimacy of public sector auditing in New Zealand local government, *Accounting History*, Vol. 18 No. 4, 473-489.

144. Conings, V., Sterck, M. (2006), De praktijk van performance audit vergeleken – Nederland, Canada en het Verenigd Koninkrijk, *Vlaams Tijdschrift voor Overheidsmanagement*, 4-10
145. Cook, W., van Bommel, S., Turnhout, E. (2016), Inside environmental auditing: effectiveness, objectivity and transparency. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 18, 33-39
146. Cooper, C., Catchpole, L., (2009), U.S. imperialism in action: an audit-based appraisal of the coalition provisional authority in Iraq, *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, Vol. 20 No. 6, pp. 716-734
147. Cope, S., Goodship, J. (2002), The Audit Commission and Public Services: Delivering For Whom ? *Public Money & Management*, October - December 2002, 33-40
148. Cordery, C. J., Hay, D. (2019). Supreme audit institutions and public value: Demonstrating relevance. *Financial Accountability & Management*, 35 (2), 128-142
149. Cordery, C.J., Hay, D.C. (2021), *Public Sector Audit*, Routledge, 110 p.
150. Cordery, C.J., Hay, D. (2021). Public sector audit in uncertain times. *Financial Accountability and Management*, 20 p.
151. Creemers, M. (2016), *Van Panopticum tot Arena, een etnografie van een auditpraktijk*. 169 p.
152. Crespo, M.G. (2005), *Public Expenditure Control in Europe. Coordinating Audit Functions in the European Union*. Edward Elgar, 256 p.
153. Culea, M.S., Constantin, D. (2021), Covid-19 Crisis and the New Challenges for Supreme Audit Institutions Management, *Ovidius" University Annals, Economic Sciences Series, Volume XXI, Issue 1*, 496-505
154. Czasche-Meseke, H. (1995), The influence of the German Federal Court of Audit (FCA) on policy decisions", *Managerial Auditing Journal*, Vol. 10 No. 9, 5-12
155. Dahanayake, S.J., Jacobs, K. (2010), Value for money auditing in the state of Victoria: the early period. *Accounting History Conference-2010 Wellington, New Zealand, 18-20 August 2010*, 32 p.
156. Dahanayake, S.J. (2021), Enacting audit legitimacy: internal processes of VFM auditing in Victoria, Australia, *Public Money & Management*, 41:4, 336-345
157. Darnall, N., Seol, I., Sarkis, J. (2009), Perceived stakeholder influences and organizations' use of environmental audits, *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 3, 170-187
158. Daujotaitė, D., Adomavičiūtė, D. (2017), The Role and Impact of Performance Audit in Public Governance, *Empirical Studies on Economics of Innovation, Public Economics and Management*, 29-44
159. Davey, K. (2009), *Making Government Accountable. Local Government Audit in Postcommunist Europe*, Open Society Institute, 330 p.
160. Davis, D.F. (1990), Do You Want a Performance Audit or a Program Evaluation ?, *Public Administration Review*, 50, 35-41
161. Davis, H., Downe, J., Martin, S. (2004), *The changing role of Audit Commission inspection of local government*, Joseph Rowntree Foundation, 50 p.

162. De Bondt, A. (2014). Performance Audits by the European Court of Auditors: Time for a Rebalancing? Thesis, European Governance, University of Luxembourg, 115 p.
163. Dekker, P., Leeuw, F. (1989), Program Evaluation and Effectiveness Auditing: Definitions, Models and Practice, in Becker, H., Van Krefeld, D. (eds.), Program Evaluation, Utrecht, Van Arkel
164. De Brabandere, A. (2017), Twintig jaar performantie-audit in het onderwijs, Vlaams Tijdschrift voor Overheidsmanagement, nr. 3, 17-32
165. De Brabandere, A. (2017), Filosofische reflecties over de impact van performantie-audits, Vlaams Tijdschrift voor Overheidsmanagement, nr. 3, 57-68
166. De Deyne, L. (2019), Energieregulatoren in België: de rol van het parlement en de regering, Tijdschrift voor Toezicht, No. 2, 52-61
167. De Haes, L. (1987), Belgisch Blunderboek. Het Rekenhof over het wilde wanbeheer, Kritak, 102 p.
168. Demaj, L. (2017), What Can Performance Information Do to Legislators? A Budget-Decision Experiment with Legislators, Public Administration Review, Vol. 77, Iss. 3, 366–379
169. De Martinis, M., Clark, C. (2003), The Accountability and Independence of the Auditors-General of Australia: A Comparison of Their Enabling Legislation, Australian Accounting Review, Vol. 13/3, 26-35
170. Demirag, I.S., Khadrou, I. (2008), Accountability and Value for Money in Private Finance Initiative Contracts, Financial Accountability & Management, Vol. 24, Issue 4, 455-478
171. Descheemaeker, C. (2005), La Cour des comptes, La Documentation française, 206 p.
172. Descheemaeker, C. (2016), La Cour des comptes pendant la Première Guerre mondiale, in : Finances publiques en temps de guerre, 1914-1918 : Déstabilisation et recomposition des pouvoirs, Comité pour l'Histoire économique et financière, 278 p., 159-185
173. Desmedt, E. (2014), De impact van de performance audits van het Rekenhof. Survey bij ambtenaren van de federale overheid. Masterproef aangeboden tot het verkrijgen van de graad van Master of Science in het Overheidsmanagement en –beleid, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Faculteit Sociale Wetenschappen, Academiejaar 2013-2014, 117 p.
174. Desmedt, E. & Pattyn, V. (2015). De impact van de performance audits van het Rekenhof. Survey bij ambtenaren van de federale overheid. Vlaams Tijdschrift voor Overheidsmanagement, nr. 3, 103-120
175. Desmedt, E., Morin, D., Pattyn, V., Brans, M. (2017), Impact of performance audit on the Administration: A Belgian study (2005-2010), Managerial Auditing Journal, Vol. 32, Issue 3, 251-275
176. Desmoulin, G. (2019), Regard sur l'histoire du contrôle des comptes de l'Union européenne, Gestion et finances publiques Gestion et finances publiques, 6, 118-125
177. Desomer, I. (2010), Overheidsaudit door het Rekenhof – Naar een beter presteren en functioneren van de publieke sector, Audit, Control & Governance, Nr. 17, Jg. 13, weken 39 en 40, 8 p.

178. Desomer, I., Van Looke, E. (2010), Performance audit van het Rekenhof: bijdrage tot een efficiënte en effectieve overheid ? VTOM, nr. 1, p. 41-48
179. Desomer, I., Put, V., Van Looke, E. (2013), Performantie-audits uitgevoerd door het Rekenhof, in: Informatiecentrum voor het Bedrijfsrevisoraat (ed.), De performantie-audit in de publieke sector en de non-profit sector, Maklu Uitgevers, 340 p., pp. 43-67
180. Desomer, I. (2017), Ontstaan en ontwikkeling van performantie-audits binnen het Rekenhof, Vlaams Tijdschrift voor Overheidsmanagement, nr. 3, 9-16
181. Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) & INTOSAI (eds.) (2013), Supreme Audit Institutions. Accountability for Development, Nomos Publishers, 281 p.
182. De Vries, G.J. (2000), Beleidsdynamica als sociale constructie. Een onderzoek naar de doorwerking van beleidsevaluatie en beleidsadvisering. Eburon Delft, 264 p.
183. De Vrieze, F., Hasson, V. (2020), Post-Legislative Scrutiny in Comparative study of practices of Post-Legislative Scrutiny in selected parliaments and the rationale for its place in democracy assistance, Westminster Foundation for Democracy (WFD), London, 2017, 51 p.
184. Dewar, D., Funnell, W. (2017), A history of British national audit: The pursuit of accountability, Oxford University Press, 303 p.
185. Dezar Zulkarnain Pingky (2016), Study on the Use of Information and Communication Technology for Combating Corruption: A case study in Supreme Audit Institution of Indonesia and Japan, Journal of the Graduate School of Asia-Pacific Studies, No.32, 35-49
186. Di Francesco M. (2020), A signal failure: Sports grants, public servants, and traffic lights. Aust J Publ Admin. 79, 584–591
187. Dillon, M.C. (1985), Developments in the public sector audit: How effective are efficiency audits ? Australian Journal of Public Administration, 3, 247-269
188. Dionisijev, I., Bozhinovska Lazarevska, Z., Trpeska, M., Atanasovski, A. (2022), The supreme audit institution in the Republic of North Macedonia – two decades of building public trust. Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting & Financial Management, 21 p.
189. Dirsmith, M.W., Covalleski, M.A., McAllister, J.P. (1985), Of Paradigms and Metaphors in Auditing Thought, Contemporary Accounting Research, 2 (1), 46-68
190. Dirsmith, M., McAllister, J. (1982), The organic vs. the mechanistic audit, Journal of Accounting, Auditing & Finance, Vol. 6 Issue 1, 60-74
191. Dirsmith, M., Fogarthy, T.J., Gupta, P. (2000), Institutional pressure and symbolic displays in a GAO context, Organization Studies, 21, 515-537
192. Divorski, S. (1996), Differences in the Approaches of Auditors and Evaluators to the Examination of Government Policies and Programs, New Directions for Program Evaluation, No. 71, San Francisco, Jossey-Bass Publishers, p. 7-14
193. Djunga, W. (1993), Contrôle externe des finances publiques type Latin. Etude comparative de l'importance des cours des comptes de Belgique, de France et du Zaïre, ainsi que de l'impact de leur contrôle sur l'exécution du budget de l'Etat et sur les interventions du Trésor au profit des organismes d'intérêt public. Bruylant Bruxelles, 1993, 405 p.

194. Dodi, I. (2005), The performance audit expectation gap : a case study of performance auditing in the Victorian public sector, Ph D Thesis Submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy, Monash University, 328 p.
195. Dobrowolski, Z. (2020), The supreme audit institutions readiness to uncertainty, *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability*, Issues 8(1): 513-525
196. Dobrowolski, Z. (2021), Are the Supreme Audit Institutions Agile? A Cognitive Orientation and Agility Measures, *European Research Studies Journal*, Volume XXIV, Issue 1, 52-62
197. Dolmans, L.J.F. (1987), De Algemene Rekenkamer en de doelmatigheid van de rijksdienst, *Beleid en Maatschappij*, 1, 18-29
198. Dolmans, L.J.F. (1989), Naar supervisie en meer aandacht voor de doelmatigheid. De Algemene Rekenkamer tussen 1945 en 1988, in: Margry, P.J., Van Heukelom, E.C., Linders, A.J.M., Van Camere vander Rekeningen tot Algemene Rekenkamer. Zes eeuwen Rekenkamer. Gedenkboek bij het 175-jarig bestaan van de Algemene Rekenkamer, SDU 's Gravenhage, 1989, 544 p., 377-430
199. Dolmans, L.J.F., Leeuw, F.L. (1997), Performance auditing. Vraagtekens bij de effectiviteit van een onderzoekstraditie. in: NIVRA, Naar een doelmatiger overheid. Goed georganiseerd mensenwerk, 132 p., 81-88
200. Downe, J., Grace, C., Martin, S., Nutley, S. (2008), Best Value Audits in Scotland: Winning Without Scoring? The Twelfth Annual Conference of the International Research Society for Public Management, 15 p.
201. Domokos, L. (2016), Culmination of the Powers of the State Audit Office of Hungary within the Scope of New Legislation on Public Funds, *Public Finance Quarterly*, No 3, 291-311
202. Dooling, B.C.E. (2020), Into the Void: the GAO's role in the regulatory state, *American University Law Review*, Vol. 70, 387-417
203. Dragusin, C.-P., Pitulice, I.C., Stefanescu, A. (2021), Harmonisation and Emergence Concerning the Performance Audit of the EU Member States' Public Sector. Romania's Case., *Sustainability* 2021, 13, 18 p.
204. Dreef, S., Wesseling, H., Scholthof, T. (2019), De stand van zaken m.b.t. lokale rekenkamers, op basis van een landelijke gespreksronde, *Werkgroep Lokale rekenkamers*, 39 p.
205. Duisenberg, P. (2016), New development: How MPs in the Dutch parliament strengthened their budgetary and accounting powers, *Public Money & Management*, 36:7, 521-526
206. Dumazy, W. (1999), Les nouvelles missions de la Cour des comptes de Belgique, *Chroniques de droit public / Publiekrechtelijke Kronieken*, 325-335
207. Dunleavy, P., Gilson, C., Bastow, S., Tinkler, J. (2009), The National Audit Office, the Public Accounts Committee and the Risk Landscape in UK Public Policy, 52 p.
208. Dwiputrianti, S. (2011), Effectiveness of Public Sector Audit Reports in Indonesia (Preceding and Following Audit Reform), Thesis Submitted for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy Crawford School of Economics and Government College of Asia and the Pacific Canberra, Australia, March, 2011

E - H

209. Eliadis, P.(2011), Power Asymmetries and Performance Audits: The Canadian Human Rights Commission and the Auditor General of Canada, in Eliadis, P., Furubo, J.E., Jacob, S. (2011), Evaluation: Seeking Truth or Power, Transaction Publishers, 240 p., 199-226
210. Elliott, J. (2002), The impact of intensive 'value for money' performance auditing in educational systems, Educational Action Research, Volume 10, Number 3, 499-506
211. Elm-Larsen, R. (2022), A Conceptual Framework for the Study of SAIs. A Critical Investigation of SAIs' Organisation and Function, Kontrola Panstwowa, 38-65
212. Engl, R., Dineiger P., García Moreno, M. (editors) (2017), Auditing and Quality of Public Expenditure in Latin America and the Caribbean—The Role of Parliament and Supreme Audit Institutions, 276 p.
213. English, L., Guthrie, J. (1991). Public sector auditing: A case of contested accountability regimes. Australian Journal of Public Administration, 50(3), 347–360.
214. English, L., Guthrie, J. (2000), Mandate, Independence and Funding: Resolution of a Protracted Struggle Between Parliament and the Executive Over the Powers of the Australian Auditor-General, Australian Journal of Public Administration, 59(1), 98-114
215. English, L. (2003), Emasculating Public Accountability in the Name of Competition: Transformation of State Audit in Victoria. Critical Perspectives on Accounting, Vol. 14, Issue 1/2, 51-76
216. English, L. (2006), Public Private Partnerships in Australia: an Overview of Their Nature, Purpose, Incidence and Oversight, University of New South Wales Law Journal, Volume 29(3), 250-262
217. English, L. (2007), Performance Audit of Australian Public Private Partnerships: Legitimizing Government Policies or Providing Independent Oversight ? Paper presented at the 2007 Conference of The Accounting & Finance Association of Australia and New Zealand, 40 p.
218. English, L. (2007), Performance audit of Australian Public Private Partnerships: legitimizing government policies or providing independent oversight ? Financial Accountability and Management, Vol. 23 No. 3, 313–336
219. English, L. (2008), Public Private Partnerships: Modernization in the Australian Public Sector. A dissertation submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy at The University of Sydney, 316 p.
220. Engwirda, M. (2011), Per slot van rekening. Memoires, Elsevier, 157 p.
221. Erauw, F. (1964), De hervorming van het Rekenhof, Tijdschrift voor Bestuurswetenschappen, XIX, 342-352
222. Erauw, F. (1980), Van de vroegere Rekenkamers tot het Europese Rekenhof, Tijdschrift voor bestuurswetenschappen en publiekrecht, nr. 6, 459-473
223. Erauw F. (1981), Het Belgische Rekenhof, Tijdschrift voor Bestuurswetenschappen en publiekrecht, XXXVI, 3, 195-210

224. Espejo, R., Bula, G., Zarama, R. (2001), Auditing as the Dissolution of Corruption, Systemic Practice and Action Research, Vol. 14, No. 2, 139-156
225. Espejo, R. (2001), Auditing as a Trust Creation Process, Systemic Practice and Action Research, Vol. 14, No. 2, 215-236
226. Estonian National Audit Office (2009), Fatum. Estonia's Road to Destruction 1939-1940. Diary of Auditor General Karl Soonpää from 1939-1940, SE&JS, 840 p.
227. Estonian National Audit Office (2009), National Audit Office 1918-2008, SE&JS
228. Etverk, J. (2002), Measuring performance audit effectiveness: the case of Estonia. Master Thesis, University of Tartu, 63 p.
229. European Court of Auditors (2014). Gaps, overlaps and challenges: a landscape review of EU accountability and public audit arrangements. European Court of Auditors, Luxembourg.
230. European Parliament (2022), Single Audit Approach - Root Causes of the Weaknesses in the Work of the Member States' Managing and Audit Authorities. Study requested by the European Parliament's Committee on Budgetary Control, 185 p.
231. EURORAI (2019), Study on communication of regional public sector audit institutions in Europe, 84 p.
232. Everett, J. (2003), The politics of comprehensive auditing in fields of high outcome and cause uncertainty. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, Vol. 14 Issue 1/2, 77-104
233. Eze, N. M., Ibrahim, M. (2015). Value for Money Audit: A Veritable Tool for Expenditure Management. *International Journal of Financial Research*, Vol. 6, no 3, p. 150-162
234. Fabrício Ramos Neves, Polyana Batista da Silva, Hugo Leonardo Menezes de Carvalho (2019), Artificial ladies against corruption: searching for legitimacy at the Brazilian Supreme Audit Institution, *Journal of Accounting and Organizations*, 31-50
235. Fang, K.H., Su, T.T. (2018), The development of performance auditing in Taiwan. In: Podger, A., Su, T., Wanna, J., Chan, H.S., Niu, M. (2018), *Value for Money. Budget and financial management reform in the People's Republic of China, Taiwan and Australia*, Australian National University Press, 374 p., 201-220
236. Fauzia, R., Martani, D. and Setyaningrum, D (2018), Government Financial Audit Effectiveness by Public Accounting Firm, Conference paper, 14 p.
237. Fei Liao (2020), How Does National Audit Promote the Change in Public Organizations? A Policy Process Perspective. Preliminary draft. Researchgate, 24 p.
238. Ferraz, C., Finan, F.(2007), Electoral accountability and corruption in local governments: Evidence from audit reports. Institute for the Study of Labor, Discussion Paper No. 2843, 48 p.
239. Ferraz, C., Finan, F.(2007), Exposing corrupt politicians: The effects of Brazil's publicly released audits on electoral outcomes. Institute for the Study of Labor, Discussion Paper No. 2836, Bonn, 51 p.

240. Ferry, L. (2019), *Audit and inspection of local authorities in England : five years after the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.*, Project Report. Housing, Communities and Local Government Select Committee, House of Commons, Parliament, UK, 55 p.
241. Ferry, L., Midgley, H. (2022), *Democracy, accountability and audit: the creation of the UK NAO as a defence of liberty*, *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, Vol. 35 No. 2, 413-438
242. Ferry, L., Radcliffe, V. S., Steccolini, I. (2022). *The future of public audit*. *Financial Accountability & Management*, 38, 325–336
243. Ferry, L., Ahrens, T. (2022). *The future of the regulatory space in local government audit: A comparative study of the four*
244. *countries of the United Kingdom*. *Financial Accountability and Management*, 38(3), 376–393
245. Flesher, D.L., Zarzeski, M.T. (2002), *The roots of operational (value for money) auditing in English speaking countries*. *Accounting and Business Research*, 32 (2), 93-104
246. Flinders, M. (2001), *The Politics of Accountability in the Modern State*, Ashgate, 436 p.
247. Flinders, M. (2011), *Daring to be a Daniel: The Pathology of Politicized Accountability in a Monitory Democracy*, *Administration & Society*, 43(5) 595–619
248. Foster, H., Know, C. (2021), *Variegated Accountability: Devolved Public Accounts Committees in the UK*, *Parliamentary Affairs*, 21 p.
249. Free C., Radcliffe, V. (2009), *Accountability in Crisis: The Sponsorship Scandal and the Office of the Comptroller General in Canada*, *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 84, No. 2, 189-208
250. Free, C., Radcliffe, V.S., Spence, C., Stein, M.J. (2020), *Auditing and the Development of the Modern State*, *Contemporary Accounting Research*, Vol. 37, No. 1, 485-513
251. Frey, B.S. (1994), *Supreme Audit Institutions: A Politico-Economic Analysis*, *European Journal of Law and Economics*, No 3, 169-176
252. Fricke, J.G. (1991), *Quality assurance, program evaluation, and auditing: Different approaches to effective program management*, *Canadian Public Administration*, Vol. 34, Issue 3, 435-452
253. Friedberg, A., Lutrin, C. (2004), *Are the Watchdogs Really Watching? Assessment of Audit Institutions in the Fifty United States of America*, *Studies in State Audit*, Vol. 60, 2004, 91-120 (*Journal of the Office of the State Comptroller and Ombudsman of Israel*)
254. Funkhouser, M., Yanjun Pu, Y. (2004), *Government Performance Auditing in the U.S. and China: Lessons Drawn from a Comparative Review*, *Chinese Public Administration Review*, Volume 2, Numbers 3 – 4, 18-29
255. Funkhouser, M. (2008), *Honest, Competent Government: The Promise of Performance Auditing*, *The IIA Research Foundation*, 89 p.
256. Funkhouser, M. (2011), *Accountability, performance and performance auditing: reconciling the views of scholars and auditors*, in: Lonsdale, J., Wilkins, P., Ling, T. (eds.), *Performance Auditing: Contributing to Accountability in Democratic Government*, Edward Elgar, p. 209-230

257. Funnell, W. (1994), Independence and the State Auditor in Britain: A Constitutional Keystone or a Case of Reified Imagery, *Abacus*, Vol. 30, No 2, 175-195
258. Funnell, W. (1994), An historically informed episodic study of state audit independence. Doctoral dissertation. New South Wales, Australia: University of Wollongong.
259. Funnell, W. (1995), The Engendering of a Chimera: Sources of Independence for Australian Commonwealth State Audit, University of Wollongong, Faculty of Commerce - Accounting & Finance, Working Papers, 35 p.
260. Funnell, W. (1995), A Processual Analysis of the Responses of the Australian Commonwealth Audit Office to the Dilemmas of Efficiency Auditing 1978-84, University of Wollongong, Faculty of Commerce - Accounting & Finance, Working Papers, 38 p.
261. Funnell, W. (1996), Executive encroachments on the independence of the Commonwealth auditor-general. *Australian Journal of Public Administration*, 55(4), 109–123
262. Funnell, W. (1996), Why 1886? Historical notes on the passage of the exchequer and audit departments act. *Abacus*, 32(1), 102–110
263. Funnell, W. (1997), The Curse of Sisyphus: Public Sector Audit Independence in an Age of Economic Rationalism, *Australian Journal of Public Administration*, Vol. 56, No. 4, 87-105
264. Funnell, W. (1997), Military influences on the evolution of public sector audit and accounting 1830-1880. *Accounting History*, 2(2), 9-28
265. Funnell, W. (1998), Executive coercion and state audit: A processual analysis of the responses of the Australian audit office to the dilemmas of efficiency auditing, *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 436 – 458
266. Funnell, W. (2003), Enduring Fundamentals: Constitutional Accountability and Auditors-General in the Reluctant State. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, Vol. 14, Issue 1/2, 107-132
267. Funnell, W. (2004), Victorian parsimony and the early champions of modern public sector audit. *Accounting History*, 9(1), 25–60
268. Funnell, W. (2011), Keeping Secrets ? Or What Government Auditors Might Not Need to Know. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, Vol. 22, issue 7, 714-721
269. Funnell, W., Wade, M. (2012), Negotiating the credibility of performance auditing, *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 435-450
270. Funnell, W., Cooper, K., Lee, J. (2012), *Public sector accounting and accountability in Australia*. Sydney, Australia: University of New South Wales Press.
271. Funnell, W. (2015), Performance Auditing and Adjudicating Political Disputes, *Financial Accountability & Management*, Vol.31(1), 92-111
272. Funnell, W.N., Jupe, R.E. (2016), Stakeholder perceptions of performance audit credibility. *Accounting and Business Research*, 1-19
273. Furubo, J.E. (2011), Performance auditing: audit or misnomer ? in: Lonsdale, J., Wilkins, P., Ling, T. (eds.), *Performance Auditing: Contributing to Accountability in Democratic Government*, Edward Elgar, p. 22-47

274. Gao, R., H. Guo, A.L. Kusuma, D. Wang (2017). National Audit Regulatory Agencies: A Comparative Analysis of their Independence, Transparency and Inspection Frequencies. Australian National Centre for Audit and Assurance Research, The Australian National University. Canberra, 51 p.
275. Garde Sanchez, R., Rodriguez Bolivar, M.P., Alcaide Munoz, L. (2014), Are Spanish SAI's accomplishing INTOSAI's best practices code of transparency and accountability, *Transylvanian Review of Administrative Sciences*, No. 43 E, 122-145
276. Garrett, J. (1986), Developing State Audit in Britain, *Public Administration*, Vol. 64, Winter, 421-433
277. Gårseth-Nesbakk, L., Kuruppu, C. (2018), Diametrical effects in governmental accountability – the auditor general's struggle to sustain balance in performance auditing reports and media disclosure, *Pacific Accounting Review*, Vol.30 (3), 274-296
278. Gaullier-Camus, F. (2020), La jurisprudence d'influence de la Cour des comptes, *Cairn.Info*, N° 44, 113 - 136
279. Gay, O., Winetrobe, B. (2003), *Parliamentary Audit Scrutiny: Innovative and Effective?*, London: Constitution Unit, UCL, 109 p.
280. Gay, O., Winetrobe, B. (2003), *Officers of Parliament—Transforming the Role*, London: Constitution Unit, UCL, 79 p.
281. Gay, O., Winetrobe, B. (2003), *Parliamentary audit: the Audit Committee in comparative context. A report to the Audit Committee of the Scottish Parliament*, Constitution Unit, University College London
282. Gay, O., Winetrobe, B. (2008), *Parliament's Watchdogs: At The Crossroads*, London: Constitution Unit, UCL, 121 p.
283. Gendron, Y., Cooper, D.J, Townley, B. (2001). In the name of accountability – State auditing, independence and new public management. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 14 (3), 278-310
284. Gendron, Y., Cooper, D.J, Townley, B. (2007), The construction of auditing expertise in measuring government performance, *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 101–129
285. Gendron, Y, Paracini, H.G. (2006), *Auditors as modern pharmakoi. On the auditing legitimacy paradox and the production of economic order*, Working Paper for presentation at the Interdisciplinary Perspectives on Accounting Conference, Cardiff, July 2006, 28 p.
286. Gerald P. (2015), *Cross-Jurisdictional Performance Audits: Impacts and options for the Australian National Audit Office*; in: Wanna, J, Lindquist, E.A., Marshall, P., *New Accountabilities, New Challenges*, ANU Press, The Australian National University, Canberra, Australia, 443 p., 369-443
287. Gerardino, M.P, Litschig, S., Pomeranz, D. (2020), *Distortion by Audit. Evidence from Public Procurement*, Paper. 64 p.
288. Gilbert, C. (2021), *The audit of public debt: Auditing as a device for political resistance in a neoliberal era*, *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 21 p.

289. Gildenhuis, E., Roos, M. (2015), The performance audit: Are there differences in the planning approach and practices followed within the South African public sector? *Southern African Journal of Accountability and Auditing Research*, Vol 17(1), 49-60
290. Glynn, J.J. (1985), *Value for Money Auditing in the Public Sector*, Prentice-Hall International, London, 212 p.
291. Glynn, J.J. (1985), *Value for Money Auditing – An International Review and Comparison*, *Financial Accountability & Management*, Winter 4 1985, 113-128
292. Glynn, J., Gray, A., Muphy, M., Vickerstaff, S. (1989), *Human Resource Management Audit in Government*, *Public Money & Management*, Winter, 35-42
293. Goddard, A., Malagila, J. (2015), Public sector external auditing in Tanzania: a theory of managing colonising tendencies, in Jayasinghe, K., Nirmala D.N., Othman, R. (Eds), *The Public Sector Accounting, Accountability and Auditing in Emerging Economies*, G.B. Emerald Group Publishing, Bingley, pp. 179-222.
294. Goetz, A.M., Jenkins, R. (2001), Hybrid Forms of Accountability: Citizen Engagement in Institutions of Public-Sector Oversight in India. *Public Management Review*, 3(3), 363-83
295. Gomes, M.B. (2005), Supreme Audit Institutions in search of accountability and performance improvement in regulatory utility agencies. A comparative analysis of oversight practices in the telecommunication sector regulators in Brazil and the United States in the last decade, *Revista Do TCU*, no 104, 62-74
296. Gomez, S.E., Padia, N. (2014), Public Performance Audit Reports: Extent of Format Compliance: South Africa versus Australia, *Global Review of Accounting and Finance* Vol. 5. No. 1. March 2014. 13 – 26
297. Gong, T (2009), Institutional learning and adaptation: developing state audit capacity in China, *Public Administration and Development*, 29, 33–41
298. Gong, T (2009), Audit for Accountability in China: An Incomplete Mission. *The Australian Journal of Public Administration*, vol. 68, no. S1, S5–S16
299. González, B., López, A., García, R. (2008), Supreme Audit Institutions and their communication strategies, *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 435-461
300. González, B., López, A., García, R. (2008), How do Supreme Audit Institutions measure the impact of their work, 503-517
301. González, B., García, R., López, A. (2013), Communication as a Transparency and Accountability Strategy in Supreme Audit Institutions, *Administration & Society*, 45(5), 583–609
302. Good, D A. (2014), *The Politics of Public Money*. Second edition. Toronto: University of Toronto Press, Scholarly Publishing Division, 416 p.
303. Goolsarran, S.W. (2007), The Evolving Role of Supreme Audit Institutions, *The Journal of Government Financial Manager*, 56 (3), 28-32
304. Gørrissen, E. (2020), The role of the INTOSAI development initiative (IDI) in strengthening the capacity and performance of supreme audit institutions in developing countries, *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting and Financial Management*, Vol. 32 No. 4, 729-733

305. Grace, C., Thorogood, T. (2021), Debate: Local public audit and accountability—an international and public value perspective, *Public Money & Management*, 41:8, 582-583
306. Grasso, P.G., Sharkansky, I. (2001), The Auditing of Public Policy and the Politics of Auditing. The U.S. GAO and Israel's State Comptroller, *Governance: An International Journal of Policy, Administration and Institutions*, Vol. 14, No. 1, 1-21
307. Gray, A., Jenkins, B., Glynn, J. (1992), Auditing the three E's: The challenge of effectiveness, *Public Policy and Administration*, 7, 56-69
308. Gray, A., Jenkins, B., Segsworth, B. (eds.) (2002), *Budgeting, Auditing and Evaluation. Functions and Integration in Seven Governments*, Transactions Publishers, New Brunswick (USA) London (UK), 214 p.
309. Greaves, A., Achterstraat, P. (2015), Reviewing performance to improve delivery: key insights from two Auditors-General, in: Wanna, J., Lee, H-A., Yates, S. (eds), *Managing under austerity, delivering under pressure : performance and productivity in public service*, Australian National University Press, 221 p., 103-116
310. Green, D., Singleton, J. (2009), *The Watchdog: New Zealand's Audit Office 1840 to 2008*, Otago, University Press; 208 p.
311. Griglio, E. (2019), Post-Legislative Scrutiny as a Form of Executive Oversight. Tools and Practices in Europe, *European Journal of Law Reform*, (21) 2, 118-136
312. Grimwood, M., Tomkins, C. (1986), Value for Money Auditing - Towards Incorporating a Naturalistic Approach, *Financial Accountability & Management*, Vol. 2, issue 4, 251-272
313. Groenendijk, N.S. (2004), Assessing Member States' Management of EU Finances: an Empirical Analysis of the Annual Reports of the European Court of Auditors, 1996–2001, *Public Administration*, Volume 82, Issue 3, 701–725
314. Gronlund, A., Svärdesten, F., Ohman, P. (2011), Value for money and the rule of law: the (new) performance audit in Sweden, *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, Vol. 24 No. 2, 2011, 107-121
315. Gunvaldsen, J.A., Karlsen, R. (1999), The Auditor as an Evaluator. How to Remain an Influential Force in the Political Landscape, *Evaluation*, Vol. 5 (4), 458-467
316. Gupta, P.P., Dirsmith, M.W., Fogarthy, T.J. (1994), Coordination and control in a government agency: contingency and institutional theory perspectives on GAO audits, *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 39, 264-284
317. Gustavson, M. (2012), *Auditing the African State. International Standards and Local Adjustments*. Dissertation for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Public Administration presented at the School of Public Administration, University of Gothenburg, 296 p.
318. Gustavson, M. (2013), *Auditing Good Government in Africa. Public Sector Reform, Professional Norms and the Development Discourse*, Palgrave Macmillan UK, 214 p
319. Gustavson, M., Sundström, A. (2016), Organizing the Audit Society: Does Good Auditing Generate Less Public Sector Corruption? *Administration & Society*, 1-25
320. Gustavson M., Sundström A. (2018), Organizing the Audit Society: Does Good Auditing Generate less Public Sector Corruption? *Administration & Society*, 50(10), 1–25

321. Guthrie, J. (1987), Public Sector Audit of Programmes and Management in Australia, *Managerial Auditing Journal*, Volume 2, Issue, 3, 10 – 15
322. Guthrie, J. (1989), The Contested National Performance Auditing in Australia, *The International Journal of Public Sector Management*, Vol. 2, No. 3
323. Guthrie, J., English, L. (1997), Guarding the Independence of the Victorian Auditor-General and the Public Interest, *Australian Accounting Review*, Vol. 7, No. 1
324. Guthrie, J.E., Parker, L.D. (1999), A Quarter of a Century of Performance Auditing in the Australian Federal Public Sector: A Malleable Masque, *Abacus*, Vol. 35, No 3, 302-332
325. Guthrie, J., English, L.M., Laughlin, R., Broadbent, J. (2010), Performance Audit of the Operational Stage of Long Term Partnerships for the Private Sector Provision of Public Services, *Australian Accounting Review* No. 52 Vol. 20 Issue 1, 64-75
326. Hamburger, P. (1989), Efficiency auditing by the Australian Audit Office: reform and reaction under three Auditors-General, *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, Vol. 2, No.3, 3-21
327. Hacı Omer Kose, Zekeriya Tuysuz (2021), The Role of Jurisdictional Power of SAIs in Increasing the Effects of Public Auditing and the Ethics of Fiscal Jurisdiction, in: Tamer Aksoy, Umit Hacıoglu (eds), *Auditing Ecosystem and Strategic Accounting in the Digital Era Global Approaches and New Opportunities*, Springer, 427 p., p. 3-24
328. Hancu-Budui, A., Zorio-Grima, A., Blanco-Vega, J. (2020), Audit Institutions in the European Union: Public Service Promotion, Environmental Engagement and COVID Crisis Communication through Social Media, *Sustainability*, 32 p.
329. Hancu-Budui, A., Zorio-Grima, A. (2021), Supreme audit institutions in Europe: synergies, institutional transparency, gender equality and sustainability engagement. *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting & Financial Management*
330. Harrison, T., Sayogo, D. (2014), Transparency, participation and accountability practise in open government: a comparative study, *Government Information Quarterly*, Vol. 31 No. 4, 513-525.
331. Hatherly, D.J., Parker, L.D. (1988), Performance Auditing Outcomes: A Comparative Study. *Financial Accountability & Management*, Vol. 4 Issue 1, 21 41
332. Havens, H.S. (1992), The Evolution of the General Accounting Office: From Voucher Audit to Program Evaluations, in Weiss, C.H., *Organizations for Policy Analysis. Helping Government Think*, Sage Publications, 289 p., 201-217
333. Hay, D., Simpkins, K., Cordery, C. (2016), The Value of Public Audit – How Do Supreme Audit Institutions Deliver Value? A Review of Literature and SAIs’ Reports, Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand, 68 p.
334. Hay, D., Simpkins, K., Cordery, C. (2016), The Value of Public Audit – Stakeholders and their Use of Audits. Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand, 67 p.
335. Hay, D., Cordery, C.J. (2018), The value of public sector audit: Literature and history, *Journal of Accounting Literature*, Vol.40, 1-15

336. Hay, D., Cordery, C.J. (2021) Evidence about the value of financial statement audit in the public sector, *Public Money & Management*, 41:4, 304-314
337. Hayez, P. (2015), *La Cour des comptes : du contrôle à l'évaluation*, *Revue française d'administration publique* 2015/3 (N° 155), 707-711
338. Hazgui, M, Morin, D. (2016), We are much more than watchdogs: The dual identity of auditors at the UK National Audit Office, *Journal of accounting and Organizational Change*, Vol. 12 Iss: 4, 568 – 589
339. Hazgui, M, Malsch, B. (2019), Navigating Through the Spatial and Institutional Contradictions of Public Audit Oversight, *European Accounting Review*, 22 p.
340. Hazgui, M., Triantafillou, P, Christensen, S.E. (2022), On the legitimacy and apoliticality of public sector performance audit: exploratory evidence from Canada and Danmark, *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 27 p.
341. Head, D. (2018), Transparency-generated trust: The problematic theorization of public audit, *Financial Accountability & Management*, 34, 317-335
342. Heald, D. (2018), Transparency-generated trust: the problematic theorisation of public audit, *Financial Accountability and Management*, Vol. 34, No. 4, 317-335
343. Hedger, E., Blick, A. (2008), Enhancing accountability for the use of public sector resources: How to improve the effectiveness of Public Accounts Committees. Prepared for: United Kingdom National Audit Office, Overseas Development Institute, 42 p.
344. Henkel, M. (1991), The new “evaluative state”, *Public Administration*, Vol. 69, No 1, 121-136
345. Henkel, M. (1994), The Audit Commission, in Pollitt, C., Harrison, S., *Handbook of Public Services Management*, Blackwell Business, 295 p., 72-85
346. Henley, D. (1989), External audit, in: Henley, D., Holtham, C., Likierman, A., Perrin, J. (eds.), *Public sector accounting and financial control*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, London, 288 p.
347. Hepworth, N.P. (1995), The Role of Performance Audit. *Public Money & Management*, Vol. 15 Issue 4, 39-42
348. Hervier, L. (2008) Le rôle des organismes de contrôle en matière d'évaluation. 1949-2007 : l'exemple de la Cour des comptes, *Informations sociales* 2008/6 (n°150), 44-55
349. Heutinck, M. (2019), *Rekenen op de Algemene Rekenkamer. De omgang met onafhankelijke informatie in schriftelijke Kamervragen*, Thesis, 39 p.
350. Hidalgo, F.D., Canello, J., Lima-de-Oliveira, R. (2016), Can Politicians Police Themselves ? Natural Experimental Evidence From Brazil's Audit Courts, *Comparative Political Studies*, 1-35
351. Hodges, R., Wright, M. (1995), Audit and accountability in the privatization process: the role of the National Audit Office, *Financial Accountability and Management*, 11/2, 153-170
352. Hodges, R. (1997), Competition and Efficiency after Privatization: The Role of the NAO, *Public Money & Management*, January – March, 35-42

353. Hochbaum, D., Hoppál, K. (eds.) (2019), Guideline on the social utilisation and transparency of public sector audit, EUROSAI Project Group on Social Utilisation and Transparency in Public Sector Audits, 66 p.
354. Hogue, Z., Khan, S.H. (2016), Changes in the Public Accounts Committee of a Less Developed Democratic Country: A Field Study, *Financial Accountability & Management*, 32(1), 80-103
355. Hogue, Z., Pearson, D. (2018), Accountability reform, parliamentary oversight and the role of performance audit in Australia, in: Podger, A., Su, T., Wanna, J., Chan, H.S., Niu, M. (2018), *Value for Money. Budget and financial management reform in the People's Republic of China, Taiwan and Australia*, Australian National University Press, 374 p., 175-200
356. Hood, C., James, O, Jones, G., Travers, T. (1998), Regulation Inside Government: Where New Public Management Meets the Audit Explosion, *Public Money and Management*, April - June, 61-68
357. Hood, C., Scott, C., James, O, Jones, G., Travers, T. (1999), *Regulation Inside Government: Waste-Watchers, Quality Police and Sleaze-Busters*, Oxford University Press, 267 p.
358. Hood, C., James, O., Scott, C. (2000), Regulation in Government. Has it Increased, Is it Increasing, Should it be Diminished?, *Public Administration*, Vol. 78, No. 2, 283-304
359. Hood, C. (2004), *Controlling modern government: variety, commonality and change*, Elgar, Cheltenham, 220 p.
360. Houghton, K., Jubb, C. (1998), The function of the auditor-general: independence, competence and outsourcing, *Australian Accounting Review*, Vol. 8 No. 15, pp. 30-35
361. Hornbeck, D., Malin, J. (2019), State Auditors in Education Policy, *Educational Policy*, Vol. 33(7) 1047–1075
362. Hui Fan (2012), Government performance auditing demand research based on the neo-institutional economics, *China Finance Review International*, Vol. 2 No. 2, pp. 100-120
363. Huissoud, M. (2017), Performance Information Impact on Legislators: A Blessing or Otherwise?, *Public Administration Review*, Vol. 77, Iss. 3, 379–380
364. Humpherson, E. (2004), The National Audit Office's Evaluation of RIAs: Reflections on the Pilot Year, *Public Money & Management*, October, 277-282
365. Humphrey, C., Owen, D. (2000), Debating the 'Power' of Audit, *International Journal of Auditing*, 4, 29-50
366. Humphrey, J.C. (2002), A Scientific Approach to Politics ? On the Trail of the Audit Commission, *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 39-62
367. Hussain, Z. (2011), Effectiveness of the Office of the Comptroller and Auditor General of Bangladesh in ensuring Accountability of Auditee Organizations: A Case Study of an MTBF Ministry, Master Thesis, North South University, Bangladesh, 98 p.

I - L

368. Imbeau, L.M. (2014), Vérificateur général, Cour des comptes ou Contrôleur des finances : la performance de trois types d'instance supérieure de contrôle (ISC) dans 27 pays d'Afrique, in : Crête, J. (ed.), Les surveillants de l'état démocratique, Presses de l'Université Laval, Québec, 204 p.
369. Indra, D. (2005), The performance audit expectation gap: A case study performance auditing in the Victorian Public Sector. unpublished PhD thesis. Monash University. Faculty of Business and Economics, Melbourne, 656 p.
370. Ingraham, P.W., Moynihan, D.P. (2001), When Does Performance Information Contribute to Performance Information Use ? Putting the Factors in Place. Campbell Public Affairs Institute Working Paper, 20 p.
371. Insausti, M.B., Velásquez Leal, LF. (2014), Supreme Audit Institutions and Accountability, Creation of common grounds for strengthening external control in Latin America, GIZ – OLACEFS, 89 p.
372. INTOSAI Development Initiative (2015), Performance, Capacities and Needs of SAIs. Global SAI Stocktaking Report 2014, 67 p.
373. INTOSAI Development Initiative (2018), Global SAI Stocktaking Report 2017, 61 p. + annex
374. INTOSAI Development Initiative (2021), Global SAI Stocktaking Report 2020, 99 p.
375. Inyang, W.S. (2016), Under-Funding the Supreme Audit Institution Amidst the Rising Unauthorized Extra-Budgetary Expenditures in Nigeria: Is Deliberate Under-Funding a Fraud-Concealing Device? Research Journal of Finance and Accounting. Vol.7, No.16, 2016, 48-57
376. Irawan, A.B., McIntyre-Mills, J. (2014), Application of Critical Systems Thinking to Performance Auditing Practice at the Indonesian Supreme Audit Institution: Issues and Challenges, Systems Research and Behavioral Science
377. Irawan, A.B., (2014), The Auditor's Role in Performance Auditing: A Case Study of the Indonesian Supreme Audit Institution, Journal of Contemporary Management Sciences Volume 1 (2) 1-14
378. Irawan, A.B. (2015), Evaluation of performance auditing in Indonesia: A Critical Systemic Approach to Addressing Public Accountability, Flinders Institute of Public Policy and Management, School of Social and Policy Studies, Flinders University, South Australia, Ph D Thesis, 347 p.
379. Isaksson, A-S., Bigsten, A. (2012), Institution Building with Limited Resources: Establishing a Supreme Audit Institution in Rwanda, World Development Vol. 40, No. 9, p. 1870–1881
380. Jacob, S., Speer, S., Furubo, J.E. (2015), The institutionalization of evaluation matters: Updating the International Atlas of Evaluation 10 years later. Evaluation, Vol. 21(1) 6–31
381. Jacobs, K. (1998), Value for money auditing in New Zealand: competing for control in the public sector, British Accounting Review, 30, 343-360
382. Jacobs, K, Jones, K., Smith, D. (2007), An analysis of the source of public accounts committee inquiries: the Australian Experience. 2007 AFAANZ Conference 1-3 July Queensland Australia, 27 p.

383. Jadallah, S.M. (1978), Performance auditing and program evaluation in government – Saudi Arabia as a field of application, Doctoral Dissertation, Texas University, 272 p.
384. Janilson Antonio da Silva Suzart (2012), SER OU NÃO SER INDEPENDENTE? UM ESTUDO EXPLORATÓRIO SOBRE A INDEPENDÊNCIA DAS INSTITUIÇÕES SUPERIORES DE AUDITORIA E O NÍVEL DE TRANSPARÊNCIA FISCAL DOS PAÍSES (To Be Or Not To Be Independent? An Exploratory Study On The Independence Of Supreme Audit Institutions And The Level Of Countries' Fiscal Transparency), *Revista Universo Contabil*, Vol. 8 No.3, 24-39
385. Jantz, B. (2015), The Dynamics of Accountability in Public Sector Reforms. A Dissertation Submitted to the Faculty of Economic and Social Sciences in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Dr. rer. pol., 182 p.
386. Jantz, B., Reichborn-Kjennerud, K., Karsten, V. (2015). Control and Autonomy—The SAIs in Norway, Denmark, and Germany as Watchdogs in an NPM-Era ? *International Journal of Public Administration*. Vol. 38, 960-970
387. Janssen, R, Aelen, M. (2015), Biases in toezicht: wat zijn het en hoe kunnen we ermee omgaan? *Tijdschrift voor toezicht*, (6) 1, 5-21
388. Janssen, R. (2015). *The Art of Audit. Eight remarkable government auditors on stage*, Amsterdam University press, 104 p.
389. Jean, M. (1992), *La chambre des comptes de Lille, l'institution et les hommes (1477- 1667)*, Paris, 441 p.
390. Jensen, B.C., Winthereik, B.R. (2017), Audit Loops and Audit Implosion, in: Lebner, A. (ed.), *Redescribing Relations: Strathernian Conversations on Ethnography, Knowledge and Politics*, Berghahn Books, 262 p., p. 146-172
391. Jeppesen, K. (1998), Reinventing auditing, redefining consulting and independence, *The European Accounting Review* 1998, 7:3, 517–539
392. Jeppesen, K. (2010) Jurisdictional competition between private and public sector auditors: The case of the Danish Certified Public Sector Auditor Qualification, 33 p.
393. Jeppesen, K., Carrington, T., Catasús, B., Johnsen, Å., Reichborn-Kjennerud, K., Vakkuri, J. (2011), Nordic state auditing: Differentiation or hybridisation of knowledge? Paper presented at CIGAR 2011, Ghent 9-10 June, 35 p.
394. Jeppesen, K, Carrington, T., Catasus, B., Johnsen, A., Reichborn-Kjennerud, K., Vakkuri, J. (2017), The Strategic Options of Supreme Audit Institutions: The Case of Four Nordic Countries, *Financial Accountability & Management*, 33(2), 146-170
395. Jiang, Q., Tan, Q. (2020), Can government environmental auditing improve static and dynamic ecological efficiency in China, *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 27, 21733-21746
396. Jiayi, Liu (2016), State Audit in the Modernization of National Governance: Institutional Guarantees and the Logic of Practice, *Social Sciences in China*, Vol.37(4), 5-21
397. Jianglin Tu (2020), A Literature Review of Government Audit in China, *International Journal of Science*, Vol.7, No. 6, 6 p.

398. Johnsen, A., Meklin, P. (2001), Performance auditing in local government: an exploratory study of perceived efficiency of municipal value for money auditing in Finland and Norway, *The European Accounting Review*, 10:3, 583-599
399. Johnsen, A., Reichborn-Kjennerud, K., Carrington, T., Jeppesen, K.K. (2016), Audit in a trusting climate, p. 119-134, in Lapsley, I., Knutsson, H., *Modernizing the Public Sector: Scandinavian Perspectives*, Routledge, 264 p.
400. Johnsen, A., Reichborn-Kjennerud, K., Carrington, T., Jeppesen, K.K., Taro, K., Vakkuri, J. (2019), Supreme audit institutions in a high-impact context: A comparative analysis of performance audit in four Nordic countries, *Financial Accountability & Management*, 158-181
401. Johnsen, A. (2018), Public sector audit in contemporary society: A short review and introduction, *Financial Accountability & Management*, 7 p.
402. Johnson, C.A., Newcomer, K.E. (2020), U.S. Inspectors General: Truth Tellers in Turbulent Times, 281 p.
403. Johnston, W.P. (1988), Increasing Evaluation Use: Some Observations Based on the Results of the U.S. G.A.O., *New Directions for Program Evaluation*, No. 39, 75-84
404. Jones, K., Jacobs, K. (2005), *Governing the Government: the paradoxical place of the Public Accounts Committee*, Public Sector Governance and Accountability Research Centre, La Trobe University, Paper presented to the 2005 Australasian Study of Parliament Group Conference. 28 p.
405. Joseph, A. (2002), *Political Appointees and Auditors of Politics: Essays on Oversight of the American Bureaucracy*. PhD dissertation, Harvard University
406. Justesen, L., Skaerbaek, P. (2005), Performance Auditing and the Production of Discomfort, in: Mourtsen, J., Jonsson, S. (eds.), *Accounting in Scandinavia – The Northern Lights*, 404 p., 321-342
407. Justesen, L. (2008), "Our findings are not up for discussion": the writing of a performance audit report. Paper for presentation at the OARNET conference 17-18th January 2008
408. Justesen, L. Skaerbaek, P. (2010), Performance auditing and the narrating of a new auditee identity, *Financial Accountability & Management*, 26(3), 325-343
409. Kangli, L., Feng, W. (2019), Theoretical Annotation of China's National Audit System Change, *Journal of Finance and Accounting*, Vol. 7, No. 4, 107-115
410. Karabayev, E.B., Sembiyeva, L.M., Zeinelgabdin, A.B., Beisenova, L.Z., Pankou, D.A. (2021), The Role of External Public Audit in Ensuring the Financial Stability of the Budgets of Developing Countries, *Public Policy and Administration*, Vol. 20, Nr. 1, 108-117
411. Karakatsanis, G. (2015). The notion of accountability in a changing public sector and the curious case of the European Union. *European Court of Auditors Journal*, March, 3, 9-17
412. Kastberg G, Österberg E. (2017), Transforming social sector auditing – they audited more, but scrutinized less. *Financial Accountability & Management*, 1–15 (*early print version*)
413. Kayrak, M. (2008), Evolving challenges for supreme audit institutions in struggling with corruption, *Journal of Financial Crime*, Vol. 15, Issue 1, 60 – 70

414. Keen, J. (1999), On the nature of audit judgements: the case of value for money studies, *Public Administration*, 77(2), 509-525
415. Keen, J. (2011), How do VFM audit teams know what they know, in: Lonsdale, J., Wilkins, P., Ling, T. (eds.), *Performance Auditing: Contributing to Accountability in Democratic Government*, Edward Elgar, p. 135-146
416. Kells, S. and Hodge, G. (2009). Public sector performance auditing: Reconceptualising the task. *Journal of Contemporary Issues in Business and Government*, Vol. 15, No. 2, pp. 33–60
417. Kells, S. and Hodge, G. (2010). Redefining the performance auditing space. *Asia Pacific Journal of Public Administration*, Vol. 32, No. 1, pp. 1–26
418. Kells, S. (2010), Thrusters, Scoopers, Scroungers and Squirrels: A Taxonomy of Public Sector Audit and Accountability Mechanisms, Monash University Faculty of Law Legal Studies Research Paper No. 2010/46, 25 p.
419. Kells, S. (2011), Conflict between Independent Scrutinisers of Transport Megaprojects: Evidence from Australia, *European Journal of Transport and Infrastructure Research*, Issue 11 (1), 71-79
420. Kells (2011), The Seven Deadly Sins of Performance Auditing, Implications for Monitoring Public Audit Institutions, *Australian Accounting Review*, No. 59 Vol. 21 Issue 4, 383-396
421. Kells, S., Hodge, G. (2011), Performance Auditing and Public Sector Innovation: Friends with Benefits or Strange Bedfellows?, *Asia Pacific Journal of Public Administration*, 33:2, 163-184
422. Kelly, J. (2003), The Audit Commission: Guiding, Steering and Regulating Local Government, *Public Administration*, 81, 3, 459-476
423. Kipnis, A.B. (2008), Audit cultures: Neoliberal governmentality, socialist legacy, or technologies of governing? *American Ethnologist*, Vol. 35, No. 2, 275–289
424. Khalifa, R., Humphrey, C., Sharma, N., Robson, K. (2007), *Discourse and Audit Change: Transformations in Methodology in the Professional Audit Field*, 47 p.
425. Kiraka, R., Clark, C., and De Martinis, M. (2002). Public sector auditing, accountability and independence: a study of ASEAN countries. *Asian Review of Accounting*, 10(2), 43-61
426. Klappstein, W. (2000), Die kollegiale Verfassung der Rechnungshöfe, in Schulze-Fielitz, H. (Ed.), *Fortschritte der Finanzkontrolle in Theorie und Praxis. Die Verwaltung (Beiheft 3)*, Duncker & Humblot, Berlin, 25-54
427. Knoops, C. (2010), *Verslaggevingstheorieën: Een wetenschapsfilosofische analyse*, Doctoraatsproefschrift, 407 p.
428. Konings, H.E. (1992), Zicht op verbetering. Beschouwing vanuit de Algemene Rekenkamer, *Bestuurskunde*, 145-154
429. Kontogeorga, G.N. (2017), Does (better) regulation really matter? Examining public financial management legislation in Greece, *European Journal of Law and Economics*, Vol. 43, Issue 1, 153-166
430. Kontogeorga, G.N. (2019), Juggling between ex-ante and ex-post audit in Greece: A difficult transition to a new era. *International Journal of Auditing*, 23, 86–94

431. Koolen, H.H.G. (1994), The Dutch Algemene Rekenkamer and its role in light of practical experience, *European Journal of Law and Economics*, No 2, 141-149
432. Kourtikakis, K. (2007), *Isomorphic Pressures and the European Union. Why Public Accountability Mechanisms Develop at the Supranational Level*. Ph D Thesis, University of Pittsburgh, 151 p.
433. Kumar, V. (2008), *The comptroller and auditor general of India: A thematic history 1990–2007*, New Delhi: APH
434. Lachapelle, A. (2015), *Cour des comptes*, in : *Dictionnaire de la Sixième Réforme de l'Etat*, Bruxelles, Éditions Larcier, 299-326
435. Laffan, B. (1999), *Becoming a “Living Institution”: The Evolution of the European Court of Auditors*. *Journal of Common Market Studies*, 37(2), 251-268
436. Laffan, B. (2003), *Auditing and accountability in the European Union*. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 10(5), 762-777.
437. Laffan, B. (2003), *Financial control: The Court of Auditors and OLAF*. In J. Peterson and M. Shackleton (eds.), *The Institutions of the European Union*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 233-253
438. Lamarque, D. (2016), *Contrôle et évaluation de la gestion publique: Enjeux contemporains et comparaisons internationales*, Bruylant, 302 p.
439. Langella, C., Anessi-Pessina, E., Cantù, E. (2021) *What are the required qualities of auditors in the public sector?*, *Public Money & Management*, 41:6, 466-476
440. Lapsley, I., Pong, C.K.M., (2000), *Modernization versus problematization: value-for-money audits in public services*, *The European Accounting Review*, 9:4, 541-567
441. Lapsley, I., Lonsdale, J. (2010), *The Audit Society: Helping to Develop or Undermine Trust in Government ?* in: Adler, M., *Administrative Justice in Context*, Oxford, Hart Publishing, 542 p., 73-96
442. Lapsley, I., Lonsdale, J. (2010), *The Audit Society: Helping to Develop or Undermine Trust in Government*, in Adler, M., *Administrative Justice in Context*, Hart
443. Larhman, N. (2013), *Le système de contrôle qualité au sein des Institutions supérieures de contrôle des finances publiques. Etude du cas de la certification des comptes de l'Etat par la Cour des comptes française*. Université de Strasbourg / Ecole nationale d'administration, 42 p.
444. Lassou, P., Hopper, T., Ntim, C. (s.d.), *Supreme audit institutions and public financial management in Benin, a Francophone African country: A civic public and legitimacy analysis*, 30 p.
445. Lassou, P. J. C., Hopper, T., Ntim, C. (2020), *How the colonial legacy frames state audit institutions in Benin that fail to curb corruption*, *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 23 p.
446. Laurence, F., Pasquale, R., Henry, M. (2022), *The why, who and what of public sector auditing*, in *Auditing Practices in Local Governments: An International Comparison*, Emerald Studies in Public Service Accounting and Accountability, 10 p.

447. Lavender, M. (2007), Watchdogs Straining at the Leash, Public Management and Policy Association
448. LAZĂR, T.N., POPESCU, C., PLEȘA, I.T. (2022), COVID 19 Pandemic and the Reaction of the Supreme Audit Institutions. Lessons Learned at the End of the Pandemic, Valahian Journal of Economic Studies Volume 13(27), Issue 1, 2022 55-66
449. Lee, T.H. & Azham., M.A., (2008), The evolution of auditing: An analysis of the historical development, Journal of Modern Accounting and Auditing
450. Leeuw, F.L. (1992), Performance auditing and policy evaluation: discussing similarities and dissimilarities, Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation, 51-68
451. Leeuw, F.L. (1996), Performance auditing, new public management and performance improvement: questions and answers, Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal, 92-102
452. Leeuw, F.L. (1996), Auditing and evaluation: bridging a gap, worlds to meet?, in: Wisler, C. (Ed.), Evaluation and Auditing: prospects for convergence, New Directions for Evaluation, No 71, Jossey-Bass Publishers, San Francisco, 51-61.
453. Leeuw, F.L. (1998), Doelmatigheidsonderzoek van de Rekenkamer als regelgeleide organisatiekunde met een rechtssociologisch tintje ? Tijdschrift voor de sociaalwetenschappelijke bestudering van het recht, 2, 35-69.
454. Leeuw, F.L. (2001), Evaluatieonderzoek, auditing en controle in Nederland anno 2000, in Abma, T., In' T Veld, R. (red), Handboek Beleidswetenschap, Boom/Amsterdam, 422 p., 70-82
455. Leeuw, F. (2002), Soft controls en doelmatigheid, in Kraak, A., Van Oosteroom, R. (red.), Agentschappen: innovatie in bedrijfsvoering. Een resultaatgericht besturingsmodel bij uitvoeringsorganisaties van de rijksoverheid., Sdu Uitgevers, 76-88
456. Leeuw, F. (2005), Normnaleving door organisaties. Sociologie toegepast op een actuele vraag. Bestuurkunde, jg. 14, nr.2, 40-48
457. Leeuw, F.L. (2006), Over impact en neveneffecten van performance monitoring en auditing, Vlaams Tijdschrift voor Overheidsmanagement, 36-44
458. Leeuw, F., Kerseboom, J., Elte, R. (2007), Turven, tellen en toetsen. Over toezicht, inspectie, handhaving en evaluatie in Nederland, Boom Juridische Uitgevers, 329 p.
459. Leeuw, F.L., Furubo, J.E. (2008), Evaluation Systems: What Are They and Why Study Them ? Evaluation, Vol. 14, No. 2, 157-169
460. Leeuw, F. L. (2009). Evaluation policy in the Netherlands. In W.M.K. Trochim, M. M. Mark, & L. J. Cooksy (Eds.), Evaluation policy and evaluation practice. New Directions for Evaluation, 123, 87–102
461. Leeuw, F. (2009), Evaluation: a booming business but is it adding value? Evaluation Journal of Australasia, Vol. 9, No. 1, 2009, 3 – 9
462. Leeuw, F. (2011), What the critics say ... on the effects, lack of effects and perverse effects of performance audit, in: Lonsdale, J., Wilkins, P., Ling, T. (eds.), Performance Auditing: Contributing to Accountability in Democratic Government, Edward Elgar, p. 231-247

463. Leitch, J.A., Rist, R.C. (2000), Organizational Learning at the U.S. Office of Personnel Management, in Leeuw, F., Rist, R.C., Sonnichsen, R.C. (2000), Can Governments Learn. Comparative Perspectives on Evaluation & Organizational Learning. Transaction Publishers, 212 p.
464. Leflere, C., Meyus, K., De Smet, B. (2017), Ontbossing en compensatie in Vlaanderen doorgelicht. Een praktijkvoorbeeld van een performantie-audit met impact, Vlaams Tijdschrift voor Overheidsmanagement, nr. 3, 33-39
465. Leloup, G. (2015), "Fait accompli", de controversiële controle van de Congolese financiën (1885-1914). Revue belge de philologie et d'histoire, tome 93, fasc. 2, 2015, 487-531
466. Leloup, G. (2014), Non pas un pouvoir illusoire. Ontstaan, rol en (on)macht van het Rekenhof (1814-1939), Rijksarchief, 480 p.
467. Leloup, G. (2015), Archief van het Rekenhof. Voorbereidend studiedossier van de archiefselectielijst 2010, Brussel, 144p.
468. Lépinay, T. (2018), Du juge des comptes au conseiller du prince. Quand la Cour des comptes transforme ses manières de contrôler, Politix, Iss. 4, 111-134
469. Lépinay, T. (2019), Écrire à l'image de son institution. Les rapports de la Cour des comptes et du Conseil économique, social et environnemental, Revue Internationale de Politique Comparée, Vol. 26, 33-53
470. Lépinay, T. (2020), Le pouvoir des rapports. La Cour des comptes, du jugement des comptes à l'évaluation des politiques publiques (années 1950 – années 2010), contribution à une histoire des grands corps de l'État (Thèse de doctorat en science politique, Université Paris-1 Panthéon-Sorbonne).
471. Leung, D. (2011), Inside Accounting. The Sociology of Financial Reporting and Auditing, Routledge, 142 p.
472. Levitt, R., Solesbury, W., Ling, T. (2006), Evidence for Accountability. The nature and uses of evidence in the audit, inspection and scrutiny functions of government in the UK. Working Paper 24, ESRC UK Centre for Evidence Based Policy and Practice, 50 p.
473. Levy, R. (1996), Managing Value-for-Money Audit in the European Union: The Challenge of Diversity, Journal of Common Market Studies, Vol. 34, No. 4, 509-529
474. Levy, R. (1998), Designing Audit and Performance Systems in a Multi-Agency Environment: the Case of the European Union, in Halachmi, A., Boorsma, P.B., Inter and Intra Government Arrangements for Productivity. An Agency Approach, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 201 p., 147-162
475. Li, H., Guo, F., Wang, L., Wang, J., Wang, B., Wu, C; (2021), A Blockchain-Based Public Auditing Protocol with Self-Certified Public Keys for Cloud Data, Security and Communication Networks, 10 p.
476. Liao, F. (2020), How Does National Audit Promote the Change in Public Organizations? A Policy Process Perspective, Nanjing Audit University, Preliminary Draft, 23 p.
477. Lino, A.F., de Aquino, A.C.B. D. (2018), The diversity of the Brazilian regional audit courts on government auditing, Revista Contabilidade and Finanças, Vol. 29, No. 76, 26-40.

478. Liu, J. (2007), *The History of Audit in China*, Foreign Language Press and China Modern Economic Publishing House, 3 volumes
479. Liu, J. (2015), *Study on the auditing theory of socialism with Chinese characteristics*, Hoboken, New Jersey : Wiley, 321 p.
480. Liu J. (2016) *State Audit in the Modernization of National Governance: Institutional Guarantees and the Logic of Practice*, *Social Sciences in China*, 37:4, 5-21
481. Libai, D. (1995), *State Audit's Impact on Government and the Public Administration*, in Friedberg, A., Geist, B., Mizrahi, N., Sharkansky, I. (eds.), *Studies in State Audit*, State of Israel, State Comptroller's Office, Jerusalem, 286 p., 83 - 94
482. Ling, T. (2003), *Ex Ante Evaluation and the Changing Public Audit Function. The Scenario Planning Approach*, *Evaluation*, Vol. 9(4), 437-452
483. Ling (2007), *New Wine in Old Bottles ? When Audit, Accountability, and Evaluation Meet*, in: Bemelmans-Videc, M.L., Lonsdale, J., Perrin, B., *Making accountability work: dilemmas for Evaluation and for Audit*, Transaction Publishers, U.S., New Jersey, 296 p., 127-141
484. Liston-Heyes, C., & Juillet, L. (2022). *Institutional embeddedness and the language of accountability: Evidence from 20 years of Canadian public audit reports*. *Financial Accountability & Management*, 1–25
485. Liston-Heyes, C., Luc Juillet, L. (2021), *What has become of the audit explosion? Analyzing trends in oversight activities in the Canadian government*, *Public Administration*, 1–18.
486. Logerot, F. (2009), *Le minutieux travail de la cour des comptes*, *Revue internationale de psychosociologie* 2009/36 (Vol. XV), 291-307
487. Loke, C.H., Ismail, S., Fatima, A.H. (2016), *The perception of public sector auditors on performance audit in Malaysia: an exploratory study*, *Asian Review of Accounting*, Vol. 24 (1), 90-104
488. Longhurst, R. (1995). *The plain truth: a history of the Queensland Audit Office*. Brisbane, Queensland: Queensland Audit Office, 242 p.
489. Lonsdale, J. (2000), *Advancing Beyond Regularity: Developments in Value for Money Methods at the National Audit Office 1984-1999*. Unpublished Ph. D Thesis, Brunel University, UK
490. Lonsdale, J. (2000), *Developments in Value-For-Money Audit Methods: Impacts and Implications*, *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 66, 73-89
491. Lonsdale, J., Mayne, J. (2004), *"Neat and Tidy... and 100 % Correct": Assuring the Quality of Supreme Audit Institution Performance Audit Work*, in Schwartz, R., Mayne, J. (eds.), *Quality Matters: Seeking Confidence in Evaluation, Auditing, and Performance Reporting*, Transaction Publishers, New Brunswick, 332 p., 173-196
492. Lonsdale, J. (2007), *Helping government to be responsive, responsible and respected: a reaction to Jocelyne Bourgon*, *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, Vol. 73, No. 1, 31-36
493. Lonsdale, J. (2007), *Walking a Tightrope ? The Changing Role of State Audit in Accountability Regimes in Europe*, in: Bemelmans-Videc, M.L., Lonsdale, J., Perrin, B., *Making accountability*

- work: dilemmas for Evaluation and for Audit, Transaction Publishers, U.S., New Jersey, 296 p., 85-104
494. Lonsdale, J. (2007), Adding value ? : measuring the impact of performance audit work in the education and social welfare fields. Paper to be presented to the conference of the Korean Evaluation Research Institute, Seoul, August 2007, 30 p.
 495. Lonsdale, J. (2008), Balancing Independence and Responsiveness: A Practitioner Perspective on the Relationships Shaping Performance Audit, Evaluation, Vol. 14, No. 2, 227-248
 496. Lonsdale, J. (2011), The Right Tools for the Job ? Methods and Context, in: Lonsdale, J., Wilkins, P., Ling, T. (eds.), Performance Auditing: Contributing to Accountability in Democratic Government, Edward Elgar, p. 95-117
 497. Lonsdale, J. (2011), Using Their Discretion: How State Audit Institutions Determine Which Performance Audits to Undertake, in: Eliadis, P., Furubo, J.E., Jacob, S. (2011), Evaluation: Seeking Truth or Power, Transaction Publishers, 240 p., 175-198
 498. Lonsdale, J., Bechberger, E. (2011), Learning in an Accountability setting, in: Lonsdale, J., Wilkins, P., Ling, T. (eds.), Performance Auditing: Contributing to Accountability in Democratic Government, Edward Elgar, p. 268-288
 499. Lonsdale, J. (2013), Context and accountability: factors shaping performance audit, in Pollitt, C., Context in Public Policy and Management, Edward Elgar, 2013
 500. Lonsdale, J., Stewart, R. (2015), Indicators of Success: Using Information and Learning to Support an Effective Performance Audit Function? in: Steffen Bohni Nielsen, Rudi Turksema, Peter van der Knaap (editors), Success in evaluation : focusing on what works. Transaction Publishers, 2015, 245 p., 165-188
 501. Lonsdale, J. (2020), Auditing in Changing Times, The UK National Audit Office's Response to a Turbulent Environment, in: Barrados, M., Lonsdale, J., Crossover of Audit and Evaluation Practices: Challenges and Opportunities, Routledge, 247 p.
 502. López-Díaz, A., González-Díaz, B. (2005), Communication and Publicising of Role and Auditing Results Carried Out By Supreme Audit Institutions in the European Union, Universidad de Oviedo, 24 p.
 503. Lovell (1996), Notions of accountability and state audit: a UK perspective, Financial Accountability and Management, 12/4, 261-280

M - O

504. Macintyre, M., Mee, W., Solomon, F. (2008), Evaluating Social Performance in the Context of an 'Audit Culture': a Pilot Social Review of a Gold Mine in Papua New Guinea, Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management, 15, 100–110
505. Maignan Joseph, M. S., Héroux., S. (2020), Audit de performance et administration publique (cahier de recherche), Chaire d'information financière et organisationnelle (CIFO), ESG UQAM, 20 p.

506. Magnet, J. (1994), Higher audit institutions and legislative authority, *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, Vol 60, 587-593
507. Matlala, L.S., Uwizeyimana, D.E. (2020), Factors influencing the implementation of the auditor general's recommendations in South African municipalities', *African Evaluation Journal* 8(1), 11 p.
508. Mbandlwa, Z. (2022), The investigation of the disparities between unqualified audit opinion and effective public service delivery. *International Journal of Special Education*, Vol.37, No.3, 10981-1004
509. McConville, D., Henderson, E. & Cordery, C. (2021) Professional jurisdiction building and the public interest: the accountancy profession and the UK charity sector *Financial Accountability and Management*
510. Magrane, J., Malthus, S. (2010), Audit committee effectiveness: a public sector case study, *Managerial Auditing Journal*, Vol. 25 No. 5, 427-443
511. Maher, N. (2004), How Supreme Audit Institution Should Perform and is to be managed for External Audit, *NISPAcee, Occasional Papers in Public Administration and Public Policy*, 15-34 p.
512. Maignan Joseph, M., Héroux, S. (2020), *Audit de performance et administration publique*, Cahier de recherche 2020-02, 20 p.
513. Maiken Patricia Ek (2014), *Performance Auditing in Zambia: From a Policy Transfer Perspective. A Cooperation Project Between the Office of the Auditor General of Norway and the Office of the Auditor General of Zambia*, University of Oslo, Master's Thesis, 102 p.
514. Maltby, J. (1999) 'A sort of guide, philosopher and friend': the rise of the professional auditor in Britain, *Accounting, Business & Financial History*, 9:1, 29-50
515. Maltby, J. (2008), There is no such thing as audit society. A reading of Power, M. (1994) "The Audit Society". Paper for Presentation at the Conference of Practical Criticism in the Managerial Social Sciences, Leicester University Management School, 19 p.
516. Malloy, J. (2004), An auditor's best friend ? Standing committees on public accounts, *Canadian Public Administration*, 165-183
517. Malsch, Bertrand ; Morin, Danielle (2014). *Pouvoir et leadership : repenser l'influence des vérificateurs généraux*. Queen's School of Business & HEC Montréal, 35 p.
518. Malsch, B., Morin, D. (2017), *L'audit législatif aux frontières du pouvoir et de la politique*, *Comptabilité - Contrôle – Audit*, 2017/1 (Tome 23), 75-96
519. Manes Rossi, F., Brusca, I., Condor, V. (2020): In the pursuit of harmonization: comparing the audit systems of European local governments, *Public Money & Management*, 11 p.
520. Mamić Sacer, I, Ivana Pavić, I., Vuković, D. (2018), *Supreme Audit Institutions Annual Reporting to Parliament and General Public – European Union Member States Experience*, in: Tomé, E., Neumann, G. Knežević, B. (editors), *Theory and Applications in the Knowledge Economy. Proceedings of the International Conference, TAKE 2017 – Zagreb, Croatia*, 12 to 14 July 2017, 754 p., p. 42-54

521. Mann, G. (1981), *Unabhängige Kontrolleure? Probleme der Besetzung von Kontrollämtern, dargestellt am Beispiel des Leitungspersonals von Rechnungshöfen*, Zeitschrift für Parlamentsfragen, Vol. 12 No. 3, 353-367
522. Marchi, L., Berte, M. (2016), *Performance Audit in the Public Sector. What is the contribution to the Performance Management?* Management Control, nr. 3, 49-63
523. Margry, P.J., van Heukelom, E.C., Linders, A.J.R.M. (1989), *Van 'Camere vander Rekeningen' tot Algemene Rekenkamer; zes eeuwen Rekenkamer. Gedenkboek bij het 175-jarig bestaan van de Algemene Rekenkamer*, SDU Uitgeverij, Den Haag, 544 p.
524. Masood, A. (2014), *Effectiveness of Supreme Audit Institution, case Study of Pakistan*, Lap Lambert Academic Publishing, 112 p.
525. Matthijs, H., *De externe controle op de staatsbegroting door het Rekenhof. Rechtskundig Weekblad*, nr. 19, 1989-1990, 625-635
526. Mathur, B.P. (2018), *The Comptroller and Auditor General: Reform the Institution to Enforce Government's Accountability*, Indian Journal of Public Administration, 64(3) 442-453
527. Mattei, G., Grossi, G., Poland, W., Guthrie J. (2021), *Exploring past, present and future trends in public sector auditing research: a literature review*, Meditari Accountancy Research, Vol. 29 No. 7, 94-134
528. Mayne, J., Wilkins, P. (2004), "Believe it or not ?": The Emergence of Performance Information Auditing, in: Schwartz, R., Mayne, J. (eds.), *Quality Matters: Seeking Confidence in Evaluation, Auditing, and Performance Reporting*, Transaction Publishers, New Brunswick, 332 p., 237-259
529. Mayne, J. (2006), *Audit and evaluation in public management: Challenges, reforms and different roles*, The Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation, 21, 1:11-45
530. Mayne, J. (2007), *Evaluation for Accountability: Myth or Reality*, in: Bemelmans-Videc, M.L., Lonsdale, J., Perrin, B., *Making accountability work: dilemmas for Evaluation and for Audit*, Transaction Publishers, U.S., New Jersey, 296 p., 63-83
531. Mazur, J. (1994), *The SAI's Right to Select Audit Topics*, International Journal of Government Auditing, Washington, April 1994
532. Mazur, J. (1995), *Regulatory Framework and Mode of Operation of the Supreme Chamber of Control* [in:] *Supreme Chamber of Control*, Warsaw 1995, 19-44
533. Mazur, J., Vella, B. (2002), *Relations between Supreme Audit Institutions and Parliamentary Committees*, SIGMA Papers: No. 33, Paris
534. Mazur, J., Vella, B. (2003), *Relations Between SAIs and Parliamentary Committees*, International Journal of Government Auditing, Washington, 16-20
535. Mazur, J. (2004), *Legal Status of the Supreme Audit Institution: Application of International Standards vs. National Traditions* [in:] *Diaphaneia & Anexartesia Ston Elegkho Toy Demosioy Khrematos. Timetikos tomos gia ta 170 khronia toy Elegktikoy Synedrioy*, Athena – Komotene 2004, 559-582
536. Mazur, J., Vella, B., Revesz, J., Havens, H. (2005), *Guidelines on Audit Quality*, International Journal of Government Auditing, Washington, 10-14

537. Mazur, J. (2007), The Polish Supreme Chamber of Control in comparison with Supreme Audit Institutions of other countries, *Iyunim. Studies in State Audit, Jerusalem, Volume 61*, 143-171
538. Mazur, J. (2009), Główne rodzaje najwyższych organów kontroli (Main Types of Supreme Audit Institutions). *Kontrola Państwowa, No 6*, 28-45
539. Mazur, J., Jurczuk, D. (2011), The role, tasks and results of the activities of the Polish Supreme Audit Office (NIK) in counteracting irregularities with regard to EU funds, [in:] *Fight against EU fraud. Administrative and criminal law issues*, edited by C. Nowak, LEX a Wolters Kluwer business, Warszawa, 163-180
540. Mazur, J. (2014), Audit activity of the Polish Supreme Audit Office in EU-related matters, *Journal of the European Court of Auditors, Luxembourg, No 06*
541. Mazur, J. (2016), Contribution of the Supreme Audit Office of Poland to Legislation and Experiences of Some Other SAIs, *Public Finance Quarterly, No 3*, 343-359
542. Mazur, J. (2017), Cooperation between European Court of Auditors and Supreme Audit Institutions of the Member States in audits, in: *European Court of Auditors 1977-2017. 40 Years of Public Auditing, Luxembourg*, 63-77
543. Mazur, J. (2020), New Trends in the Works of Supreme Audit Institutions, *Kontrola Państwowa, nr 3*, 304-322
544. Mazur, J. (2020), Investigations of the UK NAO. A New Type of Audit ? *Kontrola Państwowa, nr. 6*, 30-49
545. Mazur, J. (2021), New Trends in Audit Work and the Future of Accountability, *INTOSAI Journal, Winter 2021*, 40-41
546. Mazur, J. (2021), New Forms of SAI's work. Reactive and Rapid Auditing, *Kontrola Państwowa, nr. 2*, 49-73
547. McCrae, M., Vada, H. (1997), Performance Audit Scope and the Independence of the Australian Commonwealth Auditor-General, *Financial Accountability & Management, August 1997, 13 (3)*, 203-223
548. McEldowney, J. (1991), The National Audit Office and Privatisation, *Modern Law Review, Vol. 54, No 6*, 933-955
549. McGee, D. (2002), *The Overseers: Public Account Committees and Public Spending*. London, United Kingdom: Pluto Press
550. McKevitt, D. (2017), Harvesting Public Audit Knowledge: Implications for Theory and Practice, *International Journal of Auditing*, 190–197
551. McDevitt, A. (2020), Supreme audit institutions' anti-corruption strategies, *Transparency International*, 14 p.
552. McPhee, I. (2011), The Evolving Role and Mandate of the ANAO Since Federation, *Senate Occasional Lecture*, 27 p.
553. McRoberts, H.A., Hudson, J. (1985), Auditing program evaluations: The Canadian case, *Accounting, Organizations and Society, 10 (4)*, 493-502

554. Mehde, V. (2021), Control and Accountability: Administrative Courts and Courts of Audit, in: Kuhlmann, S., Proeller, I., Schimanke, D., Ziekow, J. (eds), *Public Administration in Germany*, Palgrave MacMillan, 416 p., p. 185-203
555. Melo, M.A., Pereira, C. Figueiredo, C.M.(2009), Political and Institutional Checks on Corruption. Explaining the Performance of Brazilian Audit Institutions, *Comparative Political Studies*, Vol. 42, Number 9, 1217-1244
556. Melo, M.A., Figueiredo, C.M., Political competition and Accountability Institutions in Subsaharan Africa: the case of supreme audit institutions, Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Midwest Political Science Association 67th Annual National Conference, The Palmer House Hilton, Chicago
557. Melo, M.A., Pereira, C., Souza, S. (2014), Why do some governments resort to 'creative accounting' but not others? Fiscal governance in the Brazilian federation, *International Political Science Review*, Vol. 35(5) 595–612
558. Mendez, C., Bachtler, J. (2011), Administrative reform and unintended consequences: an assessment of the EU Cohesion policy 'audit explosion', *Journal of European Public Policy*, Vol. 18, Issue 5, 746-765
559. Mergaerts, S. (2002), Het Rekenhof en de federalisering van België, *Tijdschrift voor bestuurswetenschappen en publiek recht*, 291-303
560. Midgley, H. (2019), The National Audit Office and the Select Committee System 1979–2019, *Parliamentary Affairs*, 779–798
561. Midwinter, A. (2008), Performance Management and Best Value Audit in Scotland: A Research Note on Theory and Practice, *Financial Accountability & Management*, Vol. 24, Issue 4, 439-453
562. Migliorisi, S., Wescott, C. (2011), A Review of World Bank Support for Accountability Institutions in the Context of Governance and Anticorruption. IEG Working Paper 2011/5, 78 p.
563. Milgrom, T., Schwartz, R. (2008), Israel's Auditor as Policy Change Agent: The Case of Water Policy, *International Journal of Public Administration*, 31, 862–877
564. Mir, M., Fan, H., Maclean, I. (2017), Public sector audit in the absence of political competition", *Managerial Auditing Journal*, Vol. 32 Issue: 9, 899-923
565. Moberg L, Blomqvist P, Winblad U. (2018), Professionalized through audit? Care workers and the new audit regime in Sweden. *Soc Policy Admin.*52, 631–645
566. Mohan, R., Stutzman, M. (1999), The Impact of Term Limits on Legislative Program Evaluation, *New Directions for Evaluation*, No 81, Spring, 95-105
567. Mohan, R., Sullivan, K. (2007), Managing the politics of evaluation to achieve impact, in Promoting the Use of Government Evaluations in Policymaking, *New Directions in Evaluation*, Issue 112, 7-23
568. Mörth, U. (Ed.) (2004), *Soft Law in Governance and Regulation: An Interdisciplinary Analysis*, Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, 240 p.

569. Monfardini, P. von Maravic, P. (2019), Too big to be audited? The new world of auditing in international organizations, *Financial Accountability & Management*, 35? 143–157
570. Moon, H.S. (2001), A model of accountability and performance audit by supreme audit institution. Ph. D Thesis, Birmingham, University of Central England
571. Morin, D. (1999). La vérification de l'optimisation des ressources dans les gouvernements du Canada et du Québec : un processus d'influence sociale. Thèse de doctorat en administration publique, ENAP, 522 p.
572. Morin, D. (2000), La vie après une mission de vérification de l'optimisation des ressources: le point de vue des gestionnaires, *Canadian Public Administration*, vol. 43, no 4, 432-452
573. Morin, D. (2001), Influence of Value for Money Audit on Public Administrations: Looking Beyond Appearances, *Financial Accountability and Management*, 17 (2), 99-118
574. Morin, D. (2003), Controllers or catalysts for change and improvement: would the real value for money auditors please stand up ? *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 18/1, 19-30
575. Morin, D. (2004), Measuring the impact of value-for-money audits: a model for surveying audited managers, *Canadian Public Administration*, 141-164
576. Morin, D. (2005), Lorsque les administrations publiques font la sourde oreille aux rappels à l'ordre du Vérificateur général, et que nul ne s'en préoccupe. *Gestion, Revue internationale de gestion*, vol. 30, no 2, 16-23
577. Morin, D. (2007), Welcome to the Court ..., Paper presented at the EGPA 2007 Conference, Madrid, Spain, 19-22 September 2007, 26 p.
578. Morin, D. (2008), Being a Magistrate at the French Cour des Comptes: Balancing between Tradition and Modernity, Paper presented at the 5th International Conference on Accounting, Auditing & Management in Public Sector Reforms, EIASM, Amsterdam, 3-5 September 2008, 38 p.
579. Morin, D. (2008), Auditors general's universe revisited. An exploratory study of the influence they exert on public administration through their value for money audits, *Managerial Auditing Journal*, Vol. 23 No. 7, 2008, 697-720
580. Morin, D. (2009), The "Communion" of the French Cour des comptes with the Administration and Parliament: The profession of faith in performance audit. Paper presented at the IIAS Conference, July 2009, 45 p.
581. Morin, D. (2010), Welcome to the Court, *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, Vol. 76, no. 1, 25-46
582. Morin, D. (2011), As performance audit in Administration markets its 30th anniversary, what have legislative auditors become: Heroes or Masters of illusion. 13th Biennial CIGAR Conference. Ghent, 9-10 June 2011, 16 p.
583. Morin, D. (2011), Serving as Magistrate at the French Cour des comptes: Navigating between Tradition and Modernity, *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, Vol. 24 Issue 6, 718 - 750
584. Morin, D. (2012), Les auditeurs législatifs: héros intouchables ? in: *Télescope*, Vol. 18 n°3, 110-130

585. Morin, D., Tremblay, M., Malsch, B. (2012). Collusion et corruption dans les administrations: les vérificateurs généraux seraient-ils condamnés à n'être que des tigres de papier? *Télescope*, Vol 18, no 3, p. 173-180
586. Morin, Danielle (2013) *L'auditeur dans tous ses États...* (HEC), 38 p.
587. Morin, D. (2014), Auditors General's impact on administrations: a pan-Canadian study (2001-2011), *Managerial Auditing Journal*, Vol. 29 No. 5, 395-426
588. Morin, D. (2016), Democratic Accountability During Performance Audits Under Pressure: A Recipe for Institutional Hypocrisy? *Financial Accountability & Management*, 32(1), 104-124
589. Morin, D., Hazgui, M. (2016), We are much more than watchdogs: The dual identity of auditors at the UK National Audit Office, *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change*, Vol. 12, Issue 4, 568-589
590. Morse, A. (2010), Debate: Performance auditing—what value? A response to Pat Barrett, *Public Money & Management*, 323-325
591. Mosher, F. (1979), *The GAO: the quest for accountability in American government*, Westview Press, Boulder, Colo, 387 p.
592. Mosher, F.C. (1984), *A Tale of Two Agencies: A Comparative Analysis of the General Accounting Office and the Office of Management and Budget*. Baton Rouge, LA: Louisiana State University Press, 219 p.
593. Mueller, P. (2011), Performance Audit and Evaluation. Similarities and Differences between the Performance Audits of the German Federal Court of Audit and Evaluations on Active Labour Market Policies, *Zeitschrift fuer Evaluation*, Vol. 10, Issue 1, 17-38
594. Mulgan, R. (2001), Auditors-General: Cuckoos in the Managerialist Nest? *Australian Journal of Public Administration*, 60 (2), 24-34
595. Mulgan, R. (2020), Public Sector Values in a Privatizing State, in: Sullivan, H., Dickinson, H., Henderson, H., *The Palgrave Handbook of the Public Servant*, Palgrave Macmillan, Cham
596. Munro, E. (2004), The Impact of Audit on Social Work Practice, *British Journal of Social Work*, 34, 1075-1095
597. Murphy, J., Mishura, S. (2019), Post-Legislative Scrutiny in a Non-Westminster Parliament. Opportunities, Challenges and Considerations, *European Journal of Law Reform*, (21) 2, 102-117
598. Murphy, J., De Vrieze, F. (2020), Parliaments and independent oversight institutions. Global and country-specific analysis of parliaments' relationships with Supreme Audit, Anti-Corruption and Human Rights Institutions, *Westminster Foundation for Democracy (WFD)*, London, 73 p.
599. Muratbekova, Zhazira, Ainabek, Kuandyk, and Davletbayeva, Nazgul (2017), Public sector audit as a provider of high quality information on activity of the governments. *Journal of Advanced Research in Law and Economics*, Volume VIII, Fall, 5(27): 1579 – 1584
600. Nacanieli Rika, N., Jacobs, K. (2018), Reputational risk and environmental performance auditing: A study in the Australian commonwealth public sector, *Financial Accountability & Management*, 17 p.

601. Nadel, M. (1996), GAO's role in the evaluation of federal health programs, *Evaluation & The health Professions*, Vol. 19, No. 3, 280-291
602. Nagy, S. (2012), The role of Supreme Audit Institutions in the fight against the consequences of financial and economic crisis: A theoretical approach, MPRA Paper No. 40356, 14 p.
603. Nagy, S., József Gál, J., Véha, A. (s.d.), Improving audit functions of Supreme Audit Institutions to promote sustainable development, *Applied Studies in Agribusiness and Commerce*, Agroinform Publishing House, Budapest, p. 63-69
604. Nagy, S. (2015), Supreme Audit Institutions and New Aspects for Public Value Creation in Complex Adaptive Systems, in: Hetesi E. – Vas Zs. (eds) 2015: *New Ideas in a Changing World of Business Management and Marketing*. University of Szeged, Doctoral School in Economics, Szeged, 206-224
605. Naidoo, I. (2020), Audit and evaluation: Working collaboratively to support accountability, *Evaluation*, Vol. 26(2) 177–189
606. Nalewaik, A.A. (2012), Factors Affecting Capital Program Performance Audit Findings. A thesis submitted for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Strategy, Programme & Project Management, SKEMA, Lille, France, 307 p.
607. Nanyoung, K. (2017), Supreme Audit Institution and Democracy: The Function of the Board of Audit and Inspection (BAI) of Korea for Democratization, in Tobin Im (ed.), *The Experience of Democracy and Bureaucracy in South Korea (Public Policy and Governance, Volume 28)* Emerald Publishing Limited, 141 - 157
608. Näsström, M., Persson, E. (2016), Performance Audits: A study of the factors affecting audit impact in Sweden, Master Thesis, 49 p.
609. National Audit Office (2005), *State Audit in the European Union*, 279 p.
610. Nath, N., Van Peurse, K., Lowe, A. (2005), *Public Sector Performance Auditing: Emergence, Purpose and Meaning*. The University of Waikato, Department of Accounting. Working Paper Series, 40 p.
611. Nath, ND., Peurse, KV., & Lowe, A. (2006). Public sector auditing in Fiji: Tracing its development and expansion. *Fijian Studies*. 4(1), 15-45
612. Nath, N. (2010). Public sector performance auditing in Fiji: A hermeneutical understanding of the emergence phase. *Social Science Research Network*, 1-23
613. Nath, N. (2011). *Public sector performance auditing and accountability: A Fijian case study*. (Doctoral Thesis, University of Waikato)
614. Nath, N.(2011). *Public Sector Performance Auditing and Accountability: A Fijian Case Study*. Hamilton, New Zealand: University of Waikato
615. Nath, N.D., Van Peurse, K., Lawrence, S. (2011), Emergence of Performance Auditing in Fiji, 1970-1995: A Hermeneutical Inquiry. *Fijian Studies*, Vol. 7(1), 23-58
616. Nath, N., Othman, R., Laswad, F. (2019), External performance audit in New Zealand public health: a legitimacy perspective. *Qualitative Research in Accounting and Management*

617. Nerskogen, S. (2022), *Organizational agility in the public sector: A case study of the Office of the Auditor General of Norway*, University of Agder, 107 p.
618. Nicoll, P. (2005), *Audit in a democracy: The Australian model of public sector audit and its application to emerging markets*, Ashgate, Aldershot, 233 p.
619. Nicoll, P. (2017), *Creating Good and Clean Government: Performance Audit in Indonesia*, Amazon Australia, 402 p.
620. Nioche J.P. (2014), *L'évaluation des politiques publiques et la gestion en France*, *Revue française de gestion*, 8/2014, N° 245, 71-84
621. Nguyen, K.C. (2012), *Enhancing the effectiveness of budget expenditures by means of auditing – a case study of State Audit Office in Vietnam*, Master's thesis, University of Tampere - School of Management,
622. Normanton, E. (1966), *The accountability and audit of government: a comparative study*, Manchester University Press, Manchester, 472 p.
623. Normanton, E. (1980), *Reform in the field of public accountability and audit: A progress report*. *The Political Quarterly*, 51(2), 175–199
624. Norton, S., Smith, M. (2008), *Contrast and Foundation of the Public Oversight Roles of the US General Accountability Office and the UK National Audit Office*, 32 p.
625. Norton, S., Smith, L. (2008), *Contrast and Foundation of the Public Oversight Roles of the U.S. Government Accountability Office and the U.K. National Audit Office*, *Public Administration Review*, 68, 921-932
626. Noussi, K. (2012), *How Public Accountability Is Institutionalized. The Case of External Public Auditing in Global Perspective Applying a Mixed Methods Approach*. Ph D thesis. 363 p.
627. Nurul Athirah Abd Manaf (2010), *The impact of performance audit: the New Zealand experience*, A thesis submitted to the Victoria University of Wellington in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Commerce and Administration in Accounting, Victoria University of Wellington, 152 p.
628. Nutley, S., Levitt, R., Solesbury, W. and Martin, S. (2012), *Scrutinizing Performance: How Assessors Reach Judgements about Public Services*, *Public Administration*, Vol. 90 No. 4, pp. 869-885
629. O'Connell, A.J. (2007), *Auditing Politics or Political Auditing ?* UC Berkeley Public Law Research Paper No. 964656, University of California, Berkeley, 54 p.
630. O'Dea, J. (2012), *The Role of Public Accounts Committees*. *Australasian Parliamentary Review*. Volume 27, Number 1, 191-195
631. O'Donnell, G. (1998), *Horizontal Accountability in New Democracies*. *Journal of Democracy* 9(3), 112-126
632. OECD (1996), *Performance Auditing and Public Sector Modernization*. Paris, 285 p.
633. OECD (2010), *Auditing Performance*, in: *Accountability and Transparency: A Guide for State Ownership*, OECD Publishing, p. 67-76

634. OECD (2014), *Chile's Supreme Audit Institution: Enhancing Strategic Agility and Public Trust*, OECD Public Governance Reviews, OECD Publishing, 350 p.
635. OECD (2015), *Supreme Audit Institutions and Good Governance. Oversight, Insight and Foresight*, OECD Public Governance Reviews, OECD Publishing, 141 p.
636. OECD (2020), *Auditing Decentralised Policies in Brazil: Collaborative and Evidence-Based Approaches for Better Outcomes*, OECD Public Governance Reviews, OECD Publishing, Paris, 169 p.
637. OECD (2021), *Preventive and Concomitant Control at Colombia's Supreme Audit Institution. New Strategies for Modern Challenges*, OECD Public Governance Reviews, OECD Publishing, Paris, 72 p.
638. OECD (2022), *Enhancing the Oversight Impact of Chile's Supreme Audit Institution: Applying Behavioural Insights for Public Integrity*, OECD Public Governance Reviews, OECD Publishing, Paris, 63 p.
639. Ogul, M.S. (1976), *Congress oversees the bureaucracy, studies in legislative supervision*. University of Pittsburgh Press, 237 p.
640. Ogunyemi, A., (2008), *Measuring and Improving the Quality of Supreme Audit Institutions of Developing Countries – Likely Challenges*, *International Journal on Governmental Financial Management*, 25-35
641. Okaro, S.C., Okafor, G.O. (2011), *The Challenges of Public Sector Audit as an Effective Accountability Tool in PPP Arrangements in Nigeria*, 6 p.
642. Olsen, H.P. (2005), *Governing Regulative Networks beyond the State: The Case of Government Auditing Standardization*, Paper prepared for presentation at the 3rd ECPR Conference, Budapest, 8-10 September, 27 p.
643. Olsen, H.P. (2006), *Changing Institutional Boundaries: The Global Standardization of Government Auditing*. In: Riedl, R.B., Aksartova, S., Mitchell, K. (eds.): *Bridging Disciplines, Spanning the World. Approaches to Identities, Institutions, and Inequalities*. Princeton: Princeton Institute for International and Regional Studies
644. Olsen, H.P. (2007), *Hybrid Governance of Standardized States, Causes and Contours of the Global Regulation of Government Auditing*.
645. Onker, B., Dirsmith, M., Gupta, P. (1999), *The coupling of the symbolic and the technical in an institutionalized context. The negotiated order of the GAO's audit reporting process*, *American Sociological Review*, 64, 506–526
646. Österberg, E.E., Licht, J.F. (2021), *Beyond auditor and auditee: exploring the governance of performance in eldercare*, *Public Management Review*, 20 p.
647. Otetea, A., Tija, C., Ungureanu, M. (2015). *The Performance Impact of Supreme Audit Institutions on National Budgets. Great Britain and Romania Case – Comparative Study*. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, Vol. 27, p. 621-628
648. Otia, J.E., Bracci, E. (2022), *Digital transformation and the public sector auditing: The SAI's perspective*, *Financial Accountability & Management*, 29 p.

P - R

649. Pallot, J. (2003), A Wider Accountability ? The Audit Office and New Zealand's Bureaucratic Revolution. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, Vol. 14 Issue 1/2, 133-155
650. Pattyn, V., van Voorst, S., Mastenbroek, E., Dunlop, C.A. (2018), Policy Evaluation in Europe, in: Ongaro, E., Van Thiel, S. (eds), (2018), *The Palgrave Handbook of Public Administration and Management in Europe*, Palgrave Macmillan, 1302 p., p. 577-593
651. Parker, L.D. (1990, Towards value for money audit policy, in: Guthrie, J., Parker, L.D., Shand, D. (eds.), *The Public Sector: Contemporary Readings in Accounting and Auditing*, Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers, Australia, 495 p., 292-306
652. Parker, L.D., Guthrie, J. (1991), Performance Auditing: The Jurisdiction of the Australian Auditor General – De Jure or De Facto, *Financial Accountability & Management*, Summer 1991, 5 (2), 107-116
653. Parker, L.D., Guthrie, J. (1991), Performance Auditing: in the Australian National Audit Office: A Definitional Discourse, *Financial Accountability & Management*, Summer
654. Parker, L.D., Jacobs, K. (s.d.), *Public Sector Performance Audit: A Critical Review of Scope And Practice in the Contemporary Australian Context*, 28 p.
655. Parker, L.D., Jacobs, K., Schmitz, J. (2019), New Public Management and the rise of public sector performance audit: Evidence from the Australian case, *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, Vol.32 (1), 280-306 p.
656. Parker, L.D., Schmitz, J., Jacobs, K. (2020), Auditor and auditee engagement with public sector performance audit: An institutional logics perspective, *Financial Accountability & Management*, 1–23
657. Pascal J. (2013), *Parlement et cour des comptes*, *Pouvoirs* 2013/3 (n° 146), 107-116
658. Paul, S. (2007), Auditing for social change: learning from civil society initiatives, in *Public Administration and Democratic Governance: Governments Serving Citizens*, 7th Global Forum on Reinventing Government, 26-29 June 2007, Vienna, Austria, January 2007, 226-237
659. Peci, A., Rudloff Pulgar, C.O. (2018), Autonomous bureaucrats in independent bureaucracies? Loyalty perceptions within supreme audit institutions, *Public Management Review*, 22 p.
660. Pelizzo, R., Stapenhurst, R., Sahgal, V., Woodley (2006), What Makes Public Accounts Committees Work ? A Comparative Analysis, *Politics & Policy*, Volume 34, No. 4, 774-793
661. Pelizzo, R. (2011), Public Accounts Committees in the Commonwealth: oversight, effectiveness and governance. *Commonwealth and Comparative Politics*, 49(4), 528-546
662. Pelizzo R; Stapenhurst, R. (2014), Oversight Effectiveness and Political Will: Some Lessons from West Africa. *The Journal of Legislative Studies*, 20(2), 255-261
663. Pellet R. (1998), *La Cour des comptes*, Paris, La Découverte, 128 p.
664. Pendlebury, M, Shreim, O. (1990), UK auditors ' attitudes to effectiveness auditing, *Financial Accountability and Management*, 6(3), 177-189

665. Pendlebury, M, Shreim, O. (1991), Attitudes to effectiveness auditing: some further evidence, *Financial Accountability and Management*, 7(1), 57-63
666. Percy, I. (2001), The Best Value Agenda for Auditing, *Financial Accountability and Management*, No. 4, 351-361
667. Perrin, B. (2007), Towards a New View of Accountability, in: Bemelmans-Videc, M.L., Lonsdale, J., Perrin, B., Making accountability work: dilemmas for Evaluation and for Audit, Transaction Publishers, U.S., New Jersey, 296 p., 41-59
668. Perry, R., Thomas, B. Dubois E., McGowan, R. (2007), A utilization-focused approach to evaluation by a performance audit agency, in: Promoting the Use of Government Evaluations in Policymaking, *New Directions in Evaluation*, Issue 112, 67-77
669. Peters B.G., Pierre J. (2020), From evaluation to auditing and from programs to institutions: Causes and consequences of the decline of the program approach. *Governance*, 33, 585–597
670. Peters, K., van Zuydam, S. (2021), Decentraal rekenkameronderzoek in Nederland: een overzicht, *Bestuurswetenschappen*, (75) 2, 5-22
671. Pierre, J., de Fine Licht, J. (2017), How do supreme audit institutions manage their autonomy and impact? A comparative analysis, *Journal of European Public Policy*, 29, 1-20
672. Pierre, J. B., Peters, G., & Licht, J. F. (2018). Is auditing the new evaluation? Can it be? Should it be? *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 31(6), 726–739
673. Pilcher, R., Gilchrist, D. J., Singh, H., Singh, I. (2013), The interface between internal and external audit in the Australian public sector. *Australian Accounting Review*, 23(4), 330–340
674. Pleșa, I.T. (2017), Performance Audit, an Independent Assessment Instrument for the Management of Public Institutions, In S. Hugues, & N. Cristache (eds.), *Risk in Contemporary Economy* (pp. 465-478). Iasi, Romania: LUMEN Proceedings
675. Pleșa, I. T. (2018). The Supreme Audit Institutions' Ethics Challenges in the Contemporary Society. In T. Ciulei, G. Gorghiu (eds.), *Communicative Action & Transdisciplinarity in the Ethical Society* (pp. 211-223). Iasi, Romania: LUMEN Proceedings
676. Podger, A., Su, T., Wanna, J., Chan, H.S., Niu, M. (2018), *Value for Money. Budget and financial management reform in the People's Republic of China, Taiwan and Australia*, Australian National University Press, 374 p.
677. Pollitt, C. (1995), Justification by Works or by Faith ? Evaluating the New Public Management, *Evaluation*, 133-154
678. Pollitt, C., Roberts, S. (1994), Audit or Evaluation ? A National Audit Office VFM Study, *Public Administration* 92 (4), 527-549
679. Pollitt, C., Summa, H. (1996), Performance Audit and Evaluation: Similar Tools, Different Relationships ?, *New Directions for Program Evaluation*, No. 71, San Francisco, Jossey-Bass Publishers, 29-50
680. Pollitt, C., Summa, H. (1997), Performance Auditing. Travellers' Tales, in: Chelmisky, E., Shadish, W. R. (eds.), *Evaluation for the 21st Century: a Handbook*, Sage, California, 542 p., 86-108

681. Pollitt, C., Summa, H. (1997), Reflexive Watchdogs ? How Supreme Audit Institutions Account For Themselves, *Public Administration*, Vol. 75, 313-336
682. Pollitt, C., Summa, H. (1998), Evaluation and the Work of Supreme Audit Institutions, in: Kessler, M.C., Lascoumes, P., Setbon, M., Thoenig, J.C. (eds.), *Evaluation des politiques publiques*, L'Harmattan, Paris, 350 p., 63-82
683. Pollitt, C., Girre, X., Lonsdale, J., Mul, R., Summa, H., Waerness, M. (1999), *Performance or Compliance. Performance Audit and Public Management in Five Countries*, Oxford University Press, 248 p.
684. Pollitt, C. (2003), Performance audit in Western Europe: Trends and Choices, *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 14, 157-170
685. Pollitt, C. (2006), Performance Information for Democracy. The Missing Link ?, *Evaluation*, Vol. 12(1), 38-55
686. Pollock, M.A., Price, D. (2008), Has the NAO Audited Risk Transfer in Operational Private Finance Initiative Schemes ? *Public Money & Management*, Vol. 28, Issue 3, 173-178
687. Pompe, S., French, A., Aldcroft, M., Fredriksen, C., Memvuh, A. (2022), The Role of Supreme Audit Institutions in Addressing Corruption, Including in Emergency Settings, in: Newiak, M., Segura – Ubiergo, A., Wane, A.A. (eds), *Good Governance in Sub-Saharan Africa. Opportunities and Lessons*, IMF, 329 p., pp. 209-301
688. Pond, D. (2008). *The Impact of Parliamentary Officers on Canadian Parliamentary Democracy: A Study of The Commissioner of the Environment and Sustainable Development & The Environmental Commissioner of Ontario*. Canadian Study of Parliament Group. 67 p.
689. Pond, D. (2010). *The Role of Parliamentary Officers: A Case Study of Two Officers*, *Canadian Parliamentary Review*, Winter 2010, p. 19-26.
690. Pontones Rosa, C., Morote, P.R., Colomina, M.C. (2014), Could performance audits promote governance effectively? The case of the Spanish municipalities, *Lex Localis-Journal Of Local Self-Government*, Vol.12(4), 829-849
691. Pontones R.C., Perez M.R. (2015), The audit report as an instrument for accountability in local governments: a proposal for Spanish municipalities, *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, Vol. 82(3), 536–558
692. Poon, R. (1995). *The Effectiveness of Value for Money Audit in the Evaluation of Government Programmes*. Thesis, Science of Management, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, 87 p.
693. Porras-Gómez A-M. (2020), The control pyramid: A model of integrated public financial control. *Financial Accountability & Management*, 73–89
694. Portal, M. (2010), La normalisation internationale en audit, implications pour la politique qualité de l'audit des comptes publics. *Crises et nouvelles problématiques de la Valeur*, May 2010, Nice, France, 32 p.
695. Portal, M. (2011), Les déterminants de la qualité de l'audit, le cas de l'audit des comptes publics, *Comptabilité - Contrôle – Audit*, 2011/1 (Tome 17), 37-65
696. Posner, P.L. (2006), Accountability Institutions and the Policy Process: The United States Experience, *OECD Journal on Budgeting*, Volume 5, Number 3, 71-96

697. Posner, P., Asif Shahan, A. (2014), Audit Institutions, in: Bovens, M., Goodin, R.E., Schillemans, T. (eds), *The Oxford Handbook of Public Accountability*, 696 p., chapter 30
698. Power, M. (1999), *The Audit Society. Rituals of Verification*. Oxford University Press, 183 p.
699. Power, M. (2005), The Theory of the Audit Explosion, in: Ferlie, E., Lynn, L.E., Pollitt, C., *The Oxford Handbook of Public Management*, Oxford University Press, 326-344
700. Prasser, S. (1985), Efficiency auditing in Queensland, *Australian Journal of Public Administration*, 4, 352-361
701. Prasad, A. (2017), *Environmental performance auditing by supreme audit institutions: progress, practice and prospects*, Ph D Thesis, 326 p.
702. Prasad, A. (2017), Environmental performance auditing in Australia, Canada and India, *International Journal of Government Auditing*, Spring issue, 24-26
703. Prasad, A. (2018), *Environmental Performance Auditing in the Public Sector: Enabling Sustainable Development*, Routledge, 168 p.
704. Prat, M.P., Janvier, C. (2010), La Cour des comptes, auxiliaire de la démocratie, *Pouvoirs* 2010/3 (n° 134), 97-107
705. Pratama, W.S. (2019), Perception of Auditors and Auditee on Public Sector Performance Audits, *Policy & Governance Review*, Vol. 3, Issue 1, 60-72
706. Prétot, X. (2000), La Cour des Comptes et le principe de l'Impartialité du Juge. *Revue du Droit Publique* (2), 323-336
707. Put, V. (2001), Doelmatigheidsonderzoek binnen het Rekenhof, *Vlaams Tijdschrift voor Overheidsmanagement*, nr. 2-3, p. 19 – 24
708. Put, V. (2006), De bril waarmee auditors naar de werkelijkheid kijken. Over normen die rekenhoven gebruiken bij het beoordelen van de overheid, *die Keure*, Brugge, 219 p.
709. Put, V. (2006), Through which lens do Supreme Audit Institutions assess the performance of the public sector ? Paper presented at the 4th International Conference on Accounting, Auditing and Management, The European Institute for Advanced Studies in Management (EIASM), Siena, September 7-9, 21 p.
710. Put, V. (2006), Spanningsvelden bij het formuleren van normen in performance audits, *Vlaams Tijdschrift voor Overheidsmanagement*, 19-27
711. Put, V., Bouckaert, G. (2011), Managing Performance and Auditing Performance, in: Christensen, T., Laegreid, P., *Ashgate Research Companion to New Public Management*, Ashgate, 505 p., 223-236
712. Put, V. (2011), Norms in performance audits: some strategic considerations, in: Lonsdale, J., Wilkins, P., Ling, T. (eds.), *Performance Auditing: Contributing to Accountability in Democratic Government*, Edward Elgar, 368 p., p. 75-94
713. Put, V., Turksema, R. (2011), Selection of performance audits topics by Supreme Audit Institutions, in: Lonsdale, J., Wilkins, P., Ling, T. (eds.), *Performance Auditing: Contributing to Accountability in Democratic Government*, Edward Elgar, 368 p., p. 51-74

714. Put, V. (2011), Performance auditing in de publieke sector. Een blik achter de schermen van het Rekenhof, *Audit, Control & Governance*, jg. 14, nr. 13, weken 25 en 26, 10 p.
715. Put, V. (2017), Impact van performantie-audit. Lessen uit recent onderzoek (2008-2016), *Vlaams Tijdschrift voor Overheidsmanagement*, nr. 3, 41-55
716. Put, V. (2018), The impact of performance auditing. A practice-friendly review, *International Journal of Government Auditing*, Spring Edition, 12-14
717. Pratama, W.S. (2019), Perception of Auditors and Auditee on Public Sector Performance Audits. *Policy & Governance Review*, v. 3, n. 1, p. 60-72
718. Qaid, M.M., Abdulqawi Alhamidi, M. (2020), The Determinants of Performance Audit in the Public Sector in Yemen, *International Journal of Business and Management Invention*, Vol. 9 Issue 4, 35-43
719. Raaum, R.B., Soniat, E. (1993), Measurement based performance audit: A tool for downsizing government. *The Government Accountants Journal*, 42 (2), 61-72
720. Rabin, Y., Winograd, T. (2018), State Audit Work that Raises a Suspicion of Criminal Conduct – the Case of Israel, *The Israel Journal of State Audit*, Vol. 63, 75-101
721. Rabrenović, A. (2009), Financial Accountability as a Condition for EU Membership, *Institute of Comparative Law*, Belgrade, 247 p.
722. Radcliffe, V.S. (1997), Competing Rationalities in 'Special' Government Audits, *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 343-366
723. Radcliffe, V.S. (1998), Efficiency audit: an assembly of rationalities and programmes, *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, Vol. 23, 377-410
724. Radcliffe, V.S. (1999), Knowing efficiency: the enactment of efficiency in efficiency auditing, *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, Vol. 24, 333-362
725. Radcliffe, V.S. (2000), The Election of Auditors in Government: A Study of Politics and the Professional, 29 p.
726. Radcliffe, V.S. (2008), Public secrecy in auditing: What government auditors cannot know, *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 99–126
727. Radcliffe, V.S. (2011), Public secrecy in government auditing revisited, *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 722-723
728. Rai, V. (2014), Not just an accountant: The diary of the nation's conscience keeper. New Delhi: Rupa Publications, 267 p.
729. Rakotondrahaso, F.T. (2020), *Gestion locale & Chambres régionales des comptes*, LGDJ, 204 p.
730. Ramírez, J.A.O, Pérez, J.A.C. (2016), Impact of Supreme Audit Institutions on the phenomenon of corruption: an international empirical analysis, *Journal of Public Governance and Policy: Latin American Review* , Vol. 1 No. 3, 34-59

731. Rana, R., Hoque, Z., Jacobs, K. (2018), Public sector reform implications for performance measurement and risk management practice: insights from Australia, *Public Money & Management*, 1-10
732. Rana, T., Steccolini, I., Bracci, E., Mihret, D. (2022). Performance auditing in the public sector: A systematic literature review and future research avenues. *Financial Accountability and Management*, 38(3), 337–359
733. Raudla, R., Taro, K., Agu, C., Douglas, J.W. (2016), The Impact of Performance Audit on Public Sector Organizations: The Case of Estonia, *Public Organization Review*, 16 (2), 217-233
734. Raynaud, J. (1980), *La Cour des comptes*, Paris, PUF, 1980.
735. Raveh, E., Ofek, Y., Bekkerman, R., Cohen, H. (2020), Applying Big Data visualization to detect trends in 30 years of performance reports. *Evaluation*, Vol. 26(4), 516-540
736. Reed, Q., Maximising the efficiency and impact of Supreme Audit Institutions through engagement with other stakeholders, Chr. Michelsen Institute (CMI), 2013, 32 p.
737. Reichborn-Kjennerud, K., Johnsen, A. (2011), Auditors' understanding of evidence: A performance audit of an urban development programme, *Evaluation* 17(3), 217– 231
738. Reichborn-Kjennerud, K. (2013), Does Performance Auditing Matter ? The government administration's response to the performance auditing of the Norwegian Office of the Auditor General, Thesis for the degree of philosophiae doctor (PhD), University of Bergen, Norway, December 2013
739. Reichborn-Kjennerud, K. (2013), Political accountability and performance audit: the case of the auditor general in Norway, *Public administration*, 91/3, 680-695
740. Reichborn-Kjennerud, K. (2013), Resistance to Control—Norwegian Ministries' and Agencies' Reactions to Performance Audit, *Public Organization Review*, 16 p.
741. Reichborn-Kjennerud, K. (2014), Performance audit and the importance of the public debate, *Evaluation : the international journal of theory, research and practice*, Vol.20(3), 368-385
742. Reichborn-Kjennerud, K. (2014), Auditee Strategies: An Investigation of Auditees' Reactions to the Norwegian State Audit Institution's Performance Audits, *International Journal of Public Administration*, 37: 685–694
743. Reichborn-Kjennerud, K., Johnsen, A., Carrington, T., Catusus, B., Jeppesen, K., Taro, K. and Vakkuri, J (2015), The impact of Supreme Audit Institutions' performance audits on public administration: A comparative analysis of Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Norway and Sweden. 37th Annual Conference of the European Group of Public Administration (EGPA), Permanent Study Group XII Public Sector Financial Management, Toulouse, 27–28 August 2015.
744. Reichborn-Kjennerud, K., Johnsen, A. (2015), Performance Audits and Supreme Audit Institutions' Impact on Public Administration: The Case of the Office of the Auditor General in Norway, *Administration & Society*, 1-25
745. Reichborn-Kjennerud, K., Carrington, T., González-Díaz, B., Jeppesen, K. (2015), Supreme Audit Institutions' role in fighting corruption. A comparative study between SAIs with different institutional and public administrative structures and cultures. Paper for the 15th Biennial CIGAR Conference 2015 in Valletta, Malta, 4 – 5 June 2015, 21 p.

746. Reichborn-Kjennerud, K., Irene Vabo, S. (2017), Performance audit as a contributor to change and improvement in public administration, *Evaluation*, Vol 23, Issue 1, 6-23
747. Reichborn-Kjennerud, The influence of performance audit on public administration and politics – A comparative study of the Nordic Supreme Audit Institutions. Post doctor prosjekt (forthcoming)
748. Reichborn-Kjennerud, K., Johnsen, A. (2018), Performance Audits and Supreme Audit Institutions' Impact on Public Administration: The Case of the Office of the Auditor General in Norway, *Administration & Society*, Vol. 50(10) 1422–1446
749. Reichborn-Kjennerud, K., Carrington, T., Klarskov Jeppesen K., Külli Taro, K. (2018), A New Organisation of Public Administration: From Internal to External Control, in: Byrkjeflot, H., Engelstad, F., *Bureaucracy and Society in Transition: Comparative Perspectives*. Vol. 33, p. 225-244
750. Reichborn-Kjennerud, K., Gonzalez-Díaz, B., Bracci, E., Carrington, T., Hathaway, J., Jeppesen, K., Steccolini, I. (2019), Sai's work against corruption in Scandinavian, South-European and African countries: An institutional analysis, *The British Accounting Review*, 1-16
751. Renwick, A., Palese, M. (2019), *Doing Democracy Better. How can information and discourse in election and referendum campaigns in the UK be improved*. The Constitution Unit, University College London, 284 p.
752. Reyes, A. (2001), *Second-Order Auditing Practices, Systemic Practice and Action Research*, Vol. 14, No. 2, 157-180
753. Rice, C. (2018), *Public Accounts Committees: Learning from Other Legislatures*, Paper prepared for presentation at the Political Studies Association Annual International Conference Cardiff, 26th – 28th March 2018, 23 p.
754. Rika, N., Jacobs, K. (2019), Reputational risk and environmental performance auditing: A study in the Australian commonwealth public sector, *Financial Accountability & Management*, 35, 182–198
755. Rion, P., Aerts, E., Vandenbulcke, A. (1999), *Geschiedenis van een controle-instelling. Het Rekenhof. Tussen traditie en vernieuwing*. Tiel: Lannoo, 160 p.
756. Rist, R.C. (1992), *Program Evaluation in the United States General Accounting Office: Reflections on Question Formulation and Utilization*, in Mayne, J., Bemelmans-Videc, M.L., Hudson, J., Conner, R. (1992), *Advancing Public Policy Evaluation. Learning from International Experiences*, North-Holland, 327 p.
757. Rist, R.C. (1989), *Management Accountability: The Signals Sent by Auditing and Evaluation*, *Journal of Public Policy*, 1989, 9 (3), 355-369
758. Rizki, A., Turner, M. (2022), How do public sector auditors perceive the concept and practice of auditor Independence? Evidence from Indonesia, *Asia Pacific Journal of Public Administration*, 19 p.
759. Roberts, S., Pollitt, C. (1994), *Audit or Evaluation ? A National Audit Office VFM Study*, *Public Administration*, Vol. 72, Winter, 527-549
760. Roos, M (2009), *Performance management within the parameters of the PFMA*, Thesis, University of South Africa, 150 p.

761. Rosdorff, D. (2020), *Effectieve Audits bij de Krijgsmacht. Een onderzoek naar de verklarende factoren voor doorwerking van aanbevelingen van Supreme Audit Institutions*, Masterthesis, Erasmus School of Social and Behavioural Sciences, Rotterdam, 68 p.
762. Rubenstein, D.B (2001), *Audit as an Agent of Constructive Consequence and Social Change*, *Corporate Environmental Strategy*, Vol. 8, No; 3, 234-241
763. Rufas Quintana, J.L. & Irmgard Anglmayer, I. (2019), *Retrospective Policy Evaluation at the European Parliament*, *European Journal of Law Reform*, (21) 2, 200-209
764. Ruffner, M., Sevilla, J. (2004), *Public Sector Modernization: Modernizing Accountability and Control*, *OECD Journal on Budgeting*, Volume 4, No. 2 123-141

S - U

765. Sabet, D.M. (2020), *Auditing as a tool of government accountability? Exploring divergent causal mechanisms through three Honduran cases*. *Public Admin Dev.*, 40, 209–219.
766. Saint-Martin, D. (2004), *Managerialist advocate or ‘control freak’, The Janus faced Office of the Auditor General*, *Canadian Public Administration*, 121-140
767. Saint-Martin, D., Rothmayr Allison, C. (2011), *Rationalism and public policy: Mode of analysis or symbolic politics?* *Policy and Society*, 30, 19–27
768. Salih, W. K., & Almajdob, M. S. (2019). *An Evaluation of the Role of Supreme Audit Institutions in Reduce of Audit Failure to Promote Economic Development – The Case of the Federal Board of Supreme Audit of Iraq*. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 9(9), 252–265.
769. Santamaria, J.-B. (2012), *La Chambre des comptes de Lille de 1386 à 1419. Essor, organisation et fonctionnement d’une institution princière*, Brepols publishers, Turnhout, 444 p.
770. Santiso, C. (2006), *Improving fiscal governance and curbing corruption: how relevant are autonomous audit agencies*. *International Public Management Review* 7(2), 97–108
771. Santiso, C. (2007), *Eyes wide shut ? The politics of autonomous audit agencies in emerging economies*, 70 p
772. Santiso, C. (2007), *Don’t cry for me ? Reforming and defusing checks and balances in Argentina*, 21 p.
773. Santiso, C. (2007), *Auditing for accountability? Political economy of government auditing and budget oversight in emerging economies*, Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Johns Hopkins University, 429 p.
774. Santiso, C. (2008), *Eyes wide shut? Reforming and defusing checks and balances in Argentina*, Volume 28, Issue, 67–84

775. Santiso, C. (2009), *The Political Economy of Government Auditing. Financial Governance and the Rule of Law in Latin America and Beyond*, Routledge-Cavendish, 240 p.
776. Santiso, C. (2013), *Closing the Accountability Gap: Audit Agencies as Partners and Advisors of Parliaments in the Oversight of the Budget Process*, in: *Supreme Audit Institutions. Accountability for Development*, Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) and the International Organization of Supreme Audit Institutions, Nomos Publishers, 281 p.
777. Santiso, C., von Horoch, J., Vieyran J.C. (2014), *Improving Lives Through Better Government. Promoting Effective, Efficient, and Open Governments in Latin America and the Caribbean*. Inter-American Development Bank. Technical Note No. IDB-TN-711, 52 p.
778. Schacter, M. (2005), *A Framework for Evaluating Institutions of accountability*, in: Anwar, S., *Fiscal management*, World Bank, 251 p., p. 229-249
779. Scharaschkin, A. (2011), *Evidence and Argument*, in: Lonsdale, J., Wilkins, P., Ling, T. (eds.), *Performance Auditing: Contributing to Accountability in Democratic Government*, Edward Elgar, p. 118-134
780. Scharaschkin, A., McBride, T. (2016), *Policy analytics and accountability mechanisms: judging the 'value for money' of policy implementation*, *Ann Oper Res*, 39-56
781. Schedler, A., Diamond, L., Plattner, M. (1999), *The Self-Restraining State: Power and Accountability in New Democracies*. Boulder : Rienner, 395 p.
782. Schelker, M. (2007), *Public Auditors: Empirical Evidence from the US States*, 43 p.
783. Schelker, M., Eichenberger, R. (2008), *Rethinking Public Auditing Institutions: Empirical Evidence from Swiss Municipalities*
784. Schelker, M. (2008), *Making Auditors Effective: Theory, Evidence, Perspectives*, Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft, 167 p.
785. Schelker, M., Eichenberger, R. (2010), *Auditors and fiscal policy: Empirical evidence on a little big institution*, *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 38, 357-380
786. Schelker, M. (2012), *The influence of auditor term length and term limits on US state general obligation bond ratings*, *Public Choice*, 150, 7-49
787. Schelstraete, W., Grenacs, A., (2008), *Wat parlementsleden in de dossiers van het Rekenhof gaan zoeken: bedenkingen over het gebruik van het parlementair inzage- en informatierecht tijdens de periode 2001-2006*, *Chroniques de droit public / Publiekrechtelijke Kronieken*, 2008, 134-149.
788. Schmitz, J. (2019), *Public Sector Performance Audits: Stakeholder Interpretations of Impact* A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy, RMIT University 240 p.
789. Schwartz, R. (1991), *State Audit in Developed Democracies*, in Friedberg, A., Geist, B., Mizrahi, N., Sharkansky, I. (1991), *State Audit and Accountability. A Book of Readings*, State Of Israel, State Comptroller's Office, Jerusalem, 240-253
790. Schwartz, R. (1999), *Coping with the Effectiveness Dilemma: Strategies Adopted by State Auditors*. *International Review of the Administrative Sciences* 65, No. 4, 511-526

791. Schwartz, R. (2000), State Audit – Panacea for the crisis of accountability ? An empirical study of the Israeli case. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 23 (4), 405-434
792. Schwartz, R., Mayne, J. (2005), Assuring the quality of evaluative information: theory and practice, *Evaluation and Program Planning* 28, 1–14
793. Scott, C. (2003), Speaking Softly Without Big Sticks: Meta-Regulation and Public Sector Audit, *Law & Policy*, Vol. 25, Issue 3, 203-219
794. Seyfried, M. (2011), Noch immer Stiefkind der Sozialwissenschaften. Ein Plädoyer für mehr politikwissenschaftliche Forschung über Rechnungshöfe. *Zeitschrift für Parlamentsfragen*, 42(3), 639-651
795. Seyfried, M. (2011), Unabhängigkeit und Wirksamkeit von Landesrechnungshöfen. Eine empirisch-vergleichende Bestandsaufnahme, 304 p.
796. Seyfried, M. (2016), Setting a fox to guard the henhouse? Determinants in elections for presidents of supreme audit institutions: Evidence from the German federal states (1991–2011), *Managerial Auditing Journal*, Vol. 31 Iss 4/5, 492-511
797. Shahan, A. (2014), The Supreme Audit Institutions (SAIs): explaining the variation in the degree of independence', Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Midwest Political Science Association, Chicago, April 4, 2014.
798. Shahan, A. (2015), From autonomy to relevance: the evolution of the government accountability office, Ph.D. dissertation, George Mason University, 394 p.
799. Shand, D., Anand, P. (1996), *Performance Auditing in the Public Sector: Approaches and Issues in OECD Member Countries*, OECD, Performance Auditing and Public Sector Modernization. Paris
800. Sharkansky, I (1988), Israel's auditor as policymaker. *Public Administration*, 66, 77-89
801. Sharkansky, I. (1991), The Auditor as Policymaker, in Friedberg, A., Geist, B., Mizrahi, N., Sharkansky, I., (eds.), *State Accountability and Audit: A Book of Readings*. Jerusalem, State Comptroller's Office, 74-94
802. Sharkansky, I. (1995), Expanding the Frontiers of State Audit: Israel's Auditor as Critic of Political Morals, in Friedberg, A., Geist, B., Mizrahi, N., Sharkansky, I. (eds.), *Studies in State Audit*, State of Israel, State Comptroller's Office, Jerusalem, 286 p., 95 - 103
803. Sharma, N. (2007), Interactions and Interrogations: Negotiating and Performing Value for Money Reports, *Financial Accountability and Management*, Vol. 23 No. 3, 289–311
804. Sharma, R.N., Kumar, S.B. (2018), C&AG of India: An Instrument to Enhance Accountability, Probity and Good Governance, *Indian Journal of Public Administration*, 454–472
805. Sheffield, J., Bowerman, M. (1999), An emergent audit agenda: comparative lessons drawn from Best Value development in Local Government in Scotland compared with England, *Public Policy and Administration*, Volume 14, No. 3, Autumn, 67-89
806. Sher-Hadar, N. (2020), Pick and Roll: Expanded roles for a state auditor, *Financial Accountability & Management*, 36, 229–243

807. Shipman, S. (2012), The role of context in valuing federal programs, in G. Julnes (Ed.), *Promoting valuation in the public interest: Informing policies for judging value in evaluation*. *New Directions for Evaluation*, 133, 53–63
808. Shipman, S. (2020), *Conducting Evaluation in an Audit Agency*, in: Barrados, M., Lonsdale, J., *Crossover of Audit and Evaluation Practices: Challenges and Opportunities*, Routledge, 247 p.
809. Shore, C., Wright, S. (1999), *Audit Culture and Anthropology: Neo-Liberalism in British Higher Education*, *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*, Vol. V No. 4, 557-575
810. Shore, C., Wright, S. (2000), *Coercive accountability: the rise of audit culture in higher education*, in Strathern, M. (ed.) (2000), *Audit Cultures. Anthropological studies in accountability, ethics and the academy*, Routledge, 310 p., 57-89
811. Shore, C. (2008), *Audit culture and illiberal governance. Universities and the politics of accountability*, *Anthropological Theory*, Vol 8(3), 278–298
812. Shore, C., Wright, S. (2015), *Audit culture revisited. Rankings, ratings, and the reassembling of society*, *Current Anthropology*, Vol. 56 No. 3, 421–444
813. Shore, C. and Wright, S. (2015), *Governing by numbers: audit culture, rankings and the new world order*, *Social Anthropology*, Vol. 23 No. 1, 22–28
814. Siassiakos, K., Papadopoulou, G.A., Kechagias, E.P., Kafasi, M. (2020), *The role and the perceived impact of fiscal audits conducted by the Hellenic Court of Audit (HCA) towards the effectiveness and efficiency of Greek Public Organizations*, *Advances in Management & Applied Economics*, Vol. 10, No. 3, 131-150
815. Siemiatycki, M. (2009), *Academics and Auditors. Comparing Perspectives on Transportation Project Cost Overruns*, *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, Vol. 29, No. 2, 142-156
816. SIGMA (2002), *Relations between Supreme Audit Institutions and Parliamentary Committees*, *Sigma Paper Series*, no. 33, 89 p.
817. Simmons, J.M., Nugent, A. (2013), *Panacea or Peril ? Intergovernmental Accountability and the Auditor General*. In Graefe, P., Simmons, J.M. and White, L.A. (eds), *Overpromising and Underperforming? Understanding Accountability and Evaluating Intergovernmental Accountability Regimes*, Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 368 p., 284- 303
818. Sinclair, S. (1979), *Cordial but not cosy. A history of the Office of the Auditor General*, McClelland and Stewart, Toronto, 208 p.
819. Skaerbaek, P. (2006), *Performance auditing and auditor identity – an ethnography of the auditor - auditee relationship in the efforts to make the Danish Defence receptive to the performance accountability framework*, *Interdisciplinary Perspectives on Accounting Conference 2006*, Cardiff. 28 p.
820. Skaerbaek, P. (2009), *Public sector auditor identities in making efficiency auditable: The National Audit Office of Denmark as independent auditor and modernizer*, *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 971-987
821. Skaerbaek, P., Christensen, M. (2011), *Auditing and blame games - A field study of the purification of blame avoidance in the Danish Parliamentary system*. Paper presented at CIGAR 2011, Ghent, 39 p.

822. Skaerbaek, P. Christensen, M. (2015), Danish public sector performance audit. An SAI and PAC tango. In: Hoque, Z. (ed.), *Making Governments Accountable: The Role of Public Accounts Committees*, Routledge (Routledge Critical Studies in Public Management), 342 p., p. 82-99
823. Skærbæk, P., Christensen, M. (2015), Auditing and the Purification of Blame, *Contemporary Accounting Research*, Vol. 32, Issue 3, 1263–1284
824. Skene, G. (1985), Auditing, efficiency and management in the New Zealand public sector, *Australian Journal of Public Administration*, 3, 270-286
825. Smith, F.H., Retief, F.P., Roos, C. Alberts, R.C. (2021), The evolving role of supreme auditing institutions (SAIs) towards enhancing environmental governance, *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*, 39:1, 67-79
826. Smyth, S., Whitfield, D. (2017), Maintaining market principles: Government auditors, PPP equity sales and hegemony, *Accounting Forum*, 41, 44-56
827. Spataru, A.A. (2021), The Impact of the External Public Audit on the Performance of the Administration In the Field of Environmental Policies, *Academic Journal of Law and Governance*, No. 9.2. 178-203
828. Speck, Bruno W. (2011), Auditing Institutions, in: Power, T.J., Taylor, M.M. (eds), *Corruption and Democracy in Brazil: The Struggle for Accountability*, Notre Dame, University of Notre Dame Press, 315 p., p. 127–161
829. Speer, S., Pattyn, V., De Peuter, B. (2015), The growing role of evaluation in parliaments: holding governments accountable? *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, Vol. 81(1) 37–57
830. Stapenhurst, R; Sahgal, V; Woodley, W; Pelizzo, R. (2005) *Scrutinizing Public Expenditures: Assessing the Performance of Public Accounts Committees*. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 3613, May 2005
831. Stapenhurst, R., Imbeau, L. (2017), *Contemporary Developments in Parliamentary Oversight in Francophone Countries*, Canadian Audit and Accountability Foundation, 170 p.
832. Stapenhurst, R., Larson, B. (2018), *Beyond Westminster: A Global Perspective on Public Governance and Accounts*, Canadian Audit and Accountability Foundation, 162 p.
833. Stapenhurst, F., Staddon, A., Draman, R., Imbeau, L.M. (2018), *Parliamentary oversight & corruption in the Caribbean: Trinidad & Tobago and Grenada compared*, Submitted to *Commonwealth and Comparative Politics*, 32 p.
834. Stašová, L.H. (2019), The scope of control of the supreme audit office in the Slovak Republic and in the other Visegrad Four countries. *Society and Economy*. In *Central and Eastern Europe | Journal of the Corvinus University of Budapest*, 2, 245-262
835. Stegaroiu, I., Plesa, I.T., Mubeen, S. (2015), The peer review assessment and their effects on the Supreme Audit Institutions' management. *Annals of the Academy of Romanian Scientists Online Edition New Series on Economy, Law and Sociology ISSN 2068-200X Volume 1, Number 1/2015*, p. 121-128
836. Stent, W.R. (2004), "Dry and Repulsive" –The Parliamentary Component of Supreme Audit Institutions, Department of Economics and Finance, La Trobe University, 10 p.

837. Stephenson, P. (2014), Appointing the members of the European Court of Auditors: towards better-qualified management and more efficient and timely decision-making?. *Cuadernos Europeos de Deusto*, 51, 99-122
838. Stephenson, P. (2015) 'Reconciling audit and evaluation? The shift to performance and effectiveness at the European Court of Auditors', *European Journal of Risk Regulation*, 1, 79-89
839. Stephenson, P.J. (2016), Sixty-Five Years of Auditing Europe, *Journal of Contemporary European Research*, 12(1), 467-485
840. Stephenson, P.J. (2017), Norms, legitimacy and institutional independence: the active role of the European Court of Auditors in setting international standards, *Journal of Contemporary European Research*, 13(2), 1144-1165.
841. Stephenson, P., Sánchez-Barrueco, M.L, Aden, H. (eds.) (2020), *Financial Accountability in the European Union. Institutions, Policy and Practice*, Routledge, 332 p.
842. Sterck, M., Conings, V., Put, V., Bouckaert, G. (2006), *De praktijk van performance audit: een drielandenstudie*, Steunpunt Bestuurlijke Organisatie, 137 p.
843. Sterck, M, Scheers, B., Bouckaert, G. (2005), *The Modernization of the Control Pyramid: International Trends*, Steunpunt Bestuurlijke Organisatie Vlaanderen, 163 p.
844. Sterck, M. (2007), *Impact of performance audit*, in: Conings, V., Sterck, M., Bouckaert, G. (2007), *Budgeting, accounting and auditing for results. Towards integrated financial management*. Steunpunt Bestuurlijke Organisatie Vlaanderen, 163 p., 95-123
845. Streim, H. (1994), *Agency Problems in the Legal Political System and Supreme Auditing Institutions*, *European Journal of Law and Economics*, No 3, 177-191
846. Stevenson, J. E. (2002), *Auditor independence: A comparative descriptive of study of the UK, France and Italy*. *International Journal of Auditing*, 6, 155–182
847. Stinckens, M., Maesschalck, J. (2012), *Rekenhof*, in : *Transparency International Belgium (2012), Evaluatie van het Nationale Integriteitssysteem*. België, 307 p., 178-190
848. Stuiveling, S., Turksema, R. (2005), *Public expenditure control in the Netherlands*. In M. García Crespo (Red.), *Public Expenditure Control in Europe: Coordinating Audit Functions in the European Union*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing, 272 p., 55–78
849. Sułkowski, L., Dobrowolski, Z. (2021), *The role of supreme audit institutions in energy accountability in EU countries*, *Energy Policy*, 7 p.
850. Sumiyana, S., Hendrian, S., Jayasinghe, K., Wijethilaka, C. (2021), *Public sector performance auditing in a political hegemony: A case study of Indonesia*, *Financial Accountability & Management*, 24 p.
851. Sutherland, S.L. (1980), *On the audit trail of the Auditor General: Parliament's servant, 1973–1980*, *Canadian Public Administration*, Volume 23, Issue 4, 616-644
852. Sutherland, S.L. (1981), *State Audit: a Canadian maverick ? Public Money*, 39-43.
853. Sutherland, S. L. (1986), *The politics of audit: the Federal Office of Auditor General in comparative perspective*, *Canadian Public Administration*, Vol. 29, No.1, 118-148

854. Sutherland, S. L. (1999), *Bossing Democracy: The Value-for-Money Audit and the Electorate's Loss of Political Power to the Auditor General*. In: Richard M. Bird, Michael J. Trebilcock, Thomas A. Wilson (eds.), *Rationality in Public Policy: Retrospect and Prospect, A Tribute to Douglas G. Hartle*. Canadian Tax Paper No. 104. Toronto, Canadian Tax Foundation, 109-140
855. Sutherland, S.L. (2001), "Biggest Scandal in Canadian History": HRDC Audit Starts Probity War. School of Policy Studies, Working Paper 23, 38 p.
856. Sutherland, S.L. (2002), *The Office of the Auditor General of Canada. Government in Exile ?* School of Policy Studies, Working Paper 31, 29 p.
857. Svärdesten, F. (2012), *Constituting performance - Case studies of performance auditing and performance reporting*, Ph D thesis, School of Business, Stockholm University, 266 p.
858. Svärdesten, F. (2019), *The 'front stage' of substance auditing: A study of how substance auditing is presented in performance audit reports*, *Financial Accountability & Management*, 35(2), 199–211
859. Taft, J. (2014), *From Change to Stability: An Evaluation of Change and Performance at Canada's Office of the Auditor General*. A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Graduate and Postdoctoral Affairs in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Arts in Political Science, Carleton University, Ottawa, Ontario, 114 p.
860. Taft, J. (2016). *From Change to Stability: Investigating the Office of the Auditor General*, *Canadian Public Administration*, vol. 59, no 3, p. 467-845.
861. Talbot, C., Wiggan, J. (2010), *The Public Value of the National Audit Office*, *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, Vol. 23, No. 1, 54-70
862. Tanuwijaya, H. (2020), *Factors that affect the auditor's intention to do whistleblowing in Supreme Audit Institutions*, *Economics and Accounting Journal*, Vol. 3, No. 2, 90-99
863. Tara, L.G., Gherai, D.S., Droj, L., Matica, D.E. (2016), *The Social Role of the Supreme Audit Institutions to Reduce Corruption in the European Union - Empirical Study*. *Revista de Cercetare si Interventie Sociala (Review of Research and Social Intervention)*, march, Vol. 52, 217-240
864. Țara, I. G., Gherai, D. S. (2014), *Historical Analysis On The Appearance Of The Supreme Audit Institutions In The European Union*. *Annals of Faculty of Economics*, 1(1), 707-718
865. Taro, K., Hanni, E. (2015), *Using a Comparative Method in Performance Audit for Evaluating Effectiveness of the Elite-Sports Policy: The Case of Estonia*, *Administrative Culture*, 16 (1), 24-46.
866. Tăvală F.-M. (2018), *Selective indicators for measuring and evaluating performance in the public sector*, *Romanian Economic and Business Review*, Vol. 12, No 2, 29-40
867. Tayler, J. (1996), *What Should be the Role of the Auditor-General in the Context of Managerialist Government and New Public Management*, *Australian Journal of Public Administration*, Vol. 55 No. 4, 147-156
868. *The National Legislative Program Evaluation Society (2004), Ensuring the Public Trust: How Program Policy Evaluation Is Serving State Legislatures*, 54 p.

869. Thomas, P.G. (2003), The past, present and future of officers of Parliament. *Canadian Public Administration*, Vol.46, No. 3, 287-314
870. Thomasson, A. (2018), Politicisation of the audit process: The case of politically affiliated auditors in Swedish local governments. *Financial Accountability & Management*, 1–12
871. Thompson, L., Sarbaugh-Thompson, M. (2019), Checks and Balances in Action: Legislative Oversight across the States, Wayne State University, 1006 p.
872. Thompson, P.N, St. John, M. (2019), The Effects of Performance Audits on School District Financial Behavior, *Public Finance Review*, Vol. 47(6) 1042-1075
873. Tillema, S., Ter Bogt, H. J. (2010), Performance auditing. Improving the quality of political and democratic processes? *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 21, 754–769
874. Tillema, S., Ter Bogt, H. J. (2016), Does an Agency-Type of Audit Model Fit a Stewardship Context? Evidence from Performance Auditing in Dutch Municipalities, *Financial Accountability & Management*, Vol. 32, Issue 2, 135-156
875. T'Joel, L. Pirelli, L. (2016), Lessons from Performance Auditing of EU-funded Transport Investments, *European Structural and Investment Funds Journal*, Vol.4(1), 17-25
876. Torgler, B. (2005), A Knight without a Sword or a Toothless Tiger ? The Effects of Audit Courts on Tax Morale in Switzerland, Working Paper No. 2004 – 06, Centre for Research in Economics, Management and the Arts, 19 p.
877. Torgler, B., Schaltegger, C.A. (2006), The Determinants of Political Discussion: How important are audit courts and local autonomy ?, Berkeley Program in Law & Economics, Working Paper Series, Paper 198, 28 p.
878. Torres, L., Pina, V. (1999), An empirical study on the performance of Supreme Audit Institutions in European Union privatizations. *The European Accounting Review*, 8:4, 777-795
879. Torres, L., Yetano, A., Pina, V. (2016), Are Performance Audits Useful? A Comparison of EU Practices, *Administration & Society*, Online First, 7 July, 32 p.
880. Torres, L., Royo, S., Garcia-Rayado, J. (2020), Social media adoption by Audit Institutions. A comparative analysis of Europe and the United States, *Government Information Quarterly*, Vol.37, Issue 1, 14 p.
881. Transparency International Belgium (2012), Evaluatie van het Nationale Integriteitssysteem België, 307 p.
882. Transparency International, Money, politics, power: corruption risks in Europe, 2012, 59 p.
883. Tremblay, M-S., Malsch, B. (2015), A review of performance audit literature, 27 p.
884. Tremblay, M., Malsch, B. (2012). L'explosion de l'audit dans le secteur public : le risque d'une illusion de contrôle, *Télescope*, vol. 18, no 3, p. 1-7
885. Triantafillou, P. (2015), Doing Things with Numbers: The Danish National Audit Office and the Governing of University Teaching, *Policy & Society*, 12 p.
886. Triantafillou P. (2020), Playing a zero-sum game? The pursuit of independence and relevance in performance auditing. *Public Administration*, 98, 109–123

887. Trincu-Drăgușin, C.-P., Ștefănescu, A., Mihai-Răzvan Sanda, M.-H. (2021), The EU Member States' SAIs. A Multidimensional Analysis, Proceedings of the 16th International Conference Accounting and Management Information Systems AMIS 2021, 359 p., p. 115-135
888. Troupin, S., Put, V., Weets, K., Bouckaert, G. (2010), Public Audit Systems: from Trends to Choices, Paper presented at the 6th Transatlantic Dialogue, Siena, June 24 to 26, 25 p.
889. Troupin, S., A Microeconomic Approach to SAIs' Effectiveness Dilemma, Cigar Gent, 9 en 10 juni 2011, 23 p.
890. Troupin, S. (2012), Professionalizing public administration(s) ? The Cases of Performance Audit in Canada and the Netherlands. Proefschrift tot het verkrijgen van de graad van Doctor in de Sociale Wetenschappen, 583 p.
891. Troupin, S. (2012), De la société de l'audit au système des professions: l'histoire institutionnelle de l'audit dans le service public canadien, in: *Télescope*, Vol. 18 n°3, 53-71
892. Uket, E.E. (2022), Stakeholders Perception of Public Sector Performance Audit, Conference on Contemporary Management, Administration and Environmental Issues in Nigeria, Conference Proceedings, p. 404-430
893. United Nations (2007), Auditing for Social Change: A Strategy for Citizen Engagement in Public Sector Accountability, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, New York, 201 p.
894. United Nations / INTOSAI, Communication between SAIs and citizens to improve public accountability, Report on the 21st UN/INTOSAI Symposium on Government Audit, 2011, 141 p.
895. United Nations, Citizen Engagement Practices by Supreme Audit Institutions. Compendium of Innovative Practices of Citizen Engagement by Supreme Audit Institutions for Public Accountability, 2013, 57 p.
896. Upadhyay, H., Sheikjh, N. (2016), Policy versus Performance: Auditing Land Acquisition and Rehabilitation in Odisha, *Journal of Land and Rural Studies*, 4(1), 79-96
897. Uthar Mukthadir (2015), Performance Audit in Supreme Audit Institution of Indonesia: A Capacity Building Analysis in the Implementation of the Audit. A Research Paper in partial fulfilment of the requirements for obtaining the degree of Master of Arts in Development Studies, Instituut of International Studies, Netherlands, 73 p.

V - Z

898. Vacca, A. (2014), Court of Auditors' performance auditing as a tool to enhance economy, efficiency, effectiveness and transparency in the public administration, an Italian perspective: strengths and weaknesses, *International Journal of Public Law and Policy*, Vol. 4, N° 2, 103-119
899. Vafaei, E., Gilchrist, D., Scully, G., Singh, H. (2018), Same, same but different. A comparison between performance audit and operational audit, in: Pilcher, R., Gilchrist, D. (2018), *Public Sector Accounting, Accountability and Governance. Globalising the Experiences of Australia and New Zealand*, Routledge, 182 p., pp. 92-104

900. Van Acker, W., Bouckaert, G. (2015), The effect of Ombud- and Audit reports on Social Innovation, in: LIPSE, Mapping and Analysing the Recommendations of Ombudsmen, Audit Offices and Emerging Accountability Mechanisms. Research Report no. 3 of the LIPSE Project (Work Package 3), 94-118
901. Van Acker, W., Bouckaert, G. (2018), What makes public sector innovations survive? An exploratory study of the influence of feedback, accountability and learning, *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, Vol. 84, Issue 2, 249–268
902. Van Acker, W., Bouckaert, G. (2019), The impact of supreme audit institutions and ombudsmen in Belgium and The Netherlands, *Financial Accountability & Management*, 55 - 71
903. Van Braband, W.J. (1994), The Dutch Algemene Rekenkamer and Its Role in Light of Contemporary Theory, *European Journal of Law and Economics*, No 2, 119-140
904. Van Der Doelen, F., Leeuw, F. (1991), Doelmatigheidsonderzoek binnen de rijksoverheid, *Beleidswetenschap*, jrg. 5, 44-63
905. Van der Knaap, P. (2009), Beleidsdoorlichtingen en doelmatigheidsonderzoek onder de loep. Lessen voor onderwerpselectie, kwaliteitszorg en rapportage. *Tijdschrift voor public governance audit & control*, december 2009, 7-11
906. Van Der Knaap, P. (2009), L'Évaluation au plus haut niveau. Audits de performance et études d'efficacité aux Pays-Bas, in : Trosa, S. (2009), *Évaluer les politiques publiques pour améliorer l'action publique*, Institut de la gestion publique et du développement économique, 245 p., 109-135
907. Van der Knaap, P. (2011), Responsive auditing, in: Lonsdale, J., Wilkins, P., Ling, T. (eds.), *Performance Auditing: Contributing to Accountability in Democratic Government*, Edward Elgar, p. 289-308
908. Van der Knaap, P. (2011), Sense and complexity: Initiatives in responsive performance audits, *Evaluation*, 17(4), 351–363
909. Van der Knaap, P. (2014), Policy analysis and performance audit at the 'highest level': looking for evidence and responsiveness, in: Van Nispen, F., Scholten, P. (eds), *Policy Analysis in The Netherlands*, Policy Press, University of Bristol, 2014, 368 p.
910. Van der Knaap, P. (2015). Policy analysis and performance audit at the 'highest level': looking for evidence and responsiveness. In: Van Nispen, F. & Scholten, P. (eds), *Policy analysis in the Netherlands*. Policy Press Scholarship Online.
911. Van Der Meer, F.B., Van Gaalen, J.F.B., Schaap, L. (1994), *Leren van controleren. De rol van de Algemene Rekenkamer*, *Bestuurskunde*, nr. 3, 113-124
912. Van Der Meer, F.B. (1999), Evaluation and the Social Construction of Impacts, *Evaluation*, Vol. 5 (4), 387-406
913. Vanlandingham, G. R. (2006), *A Voice Crying in the Wilderness – Legislative Oversight Agencies' Efforts to Achieve Utilization*, Dissertation submitted to the Askew School of Public Administration and Policy, Florida State University, 156 p.

914. Vanlandingham, G. R. (2006), A Voice Crying in the Wilderness: Legislative Oversight Agencies' Efforts to Achieve Utilization, in: Promoting the Use of Government Evaluations in Policymaking? New Directions for Program Evaluation, nr. 112, 25-40
915. Vanlandingham, G. R. (2011), Escaping the Dusty Shelf: Legislative Evaluation Offices' Efforts to Promote Utilization, American Journal of Evaluation, 32 (1), 85-97
916. Van Leeuwen, S. (2004), Developments in Environmental Auditing by Supreme Audit Institutions, Environmental Management, Volume 33, Number 2, February, 2004, 163-172
917. Van Loocke, E. (2006), Normen in performance audit bij het Belgische Rekenhof, Vlaams Tijdschrift voor Overheidsmanagement, 28-35
918. Van Loocke, E., Put, V. (2010), De impact van performance auditing: "slow and subtle" ? in: Verlet, D., Devos, C. (red.), Efficiëntie en effectiviteit van de publieke sector in de weegschaal. Studiedienst van de Vlaamse Regering, 236 p., 187-220
919. Van Loocke, E., Put, V. (2011), The impact of performance audits of SAIs: a review of the existing evidence, in: Lonsdale, J., Wilkins, P., Ling, T. (eds.), Performance Auditing: Contributing to Accountability in Democratic Government, Edward Elgar, 368 p., p. 175-208
920. Van Maanen, J., Pentland, B.T. (1994). Cops and Auditors: The Rhetoric of Records. In: Sithkin & R. J. Bies (red.), The Legalistic Organization. California: Sage Publications.
921. van Twist, M., van der Steen, M., Bekkers, H., Bouwmans, H. (2013), De internal auditor in het publieke domein. Drijfveren en dilemma's, principes en paradoxen, Boom Lemma Uitgevers
922. van Twist, M., Schillemans, T., van der Steen, M., de Jong, I. (2017), De paradoxale professionaliteit van de interne auditor in het publieke domein Breken met of behouden van bestaande kaders, Tijdschrift voor Management en Organisatie, Jg. 71, nr. 2, 4-20
923. van Winden, E. (2017), Auditors of Sustainability: Exploring the Role of Supreme Audit Institutions in the Implementation of the Sustainable Development Goals, Master thesis, 80 p.
924. van Zyl, Ramkumar, V., de Renzio, P. 2009. Responding to challenges of Supreme Audit Institutions: Can legislatures and civil society help? CMI Paper for U4 Anti-Corruption Resource Centre
925. Vašiček, V., Roje, G. (eds.) (2019), Public Sector Accounting, Auditing and Control in South Eastern Europe, Palgrave Macmillan, 249 p.
926. Vasiliauskiene, M., Daujotaite, D. (2019), Performance audit: a cross-country comparison of practices of selected Supreme Audit Institutions, Business: Theory and Practice, 20: 352–362
927. Viane, L. (2021), Accounting, Reporting, Auditing and Public Accountability of Public-Private Partnerships: the Portuguese Experience, Doctoral Thesis, Universidade Do Porto, 283 p.
928. Voigt, S., Blume, L. (2011), The Economic Effects of Constitutional Budget Institutions, Institute of Law & Economics, University of Hamburg and CESifo, 31 p.
929. Vu Thi Kim Anh, Hoang Thi Viet Ha (2018), The influence of auditor's factors on the performance auditing quality of ODA funded investment project audits conducted by the State Audit Office of Vietnam, paper presented at the 5th IBSM International Conference on

- Business, Management and Accounting, 19-21 April 2018, Hanoi University of Industry, Vietnam. 12 p.
930. Waks, C. (2009), The persistence of the audit culture: supervision within Swedish ambulance services, *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, Vol. 22 Issue: 1, 35-45
931. Walker, W.E. (1986), *Changing Organizational Culture: Strategy, Structure, and Professionalism in the US General Accounting Office*, University of Tennessee Press, Knoxville, 202 p.
932. Walton, K.S., Brown, R.E. (1990), State Legislators and State Auditors: Is There an Inherent Role Conflict ? *Public Budgeting & Finance*, Spring, 3-12
933. Wang, V., Rakner, L. (2005), The accountability function of Supreme Audit Institutions in Malawi, Uganda and Tanzania, 44 p.
934. Wanna, J., Ryan, C., Ng, C. (2001), *From Accounting to Accountability: A Centenary History of the Australian National Audit Office*, Allen & Unwin, 372 p.
935. Wanna, J., Ryan, C. (2003), An Impeditive Administrative Culture ? The Legacy of Australia's First Auditor-General on the Australian Audit Office, *Australian Journal of Politic and History*, Vol. 49, Number 4, 469-480
936. Wascotte F., Fyalkowski, P., Raone, J (2021), Le rôle des institutions supérieures de contrôle dans les transformation des pratiques évaluatives : l'exemple de la Belgique. *Revue française d'administration publique*, no 177, 29-44
937. Weets, K. (2007), *Effectiviteit van performance audits: een meervoudige gevalstudie bij de Rekenkamer Rotterdam*, K.U. Leuven, Faculteit Sociale wetenschappen, 142 p. + bijlagen (masterthesis)
938. Weets, K. (2008), Accountants, lawyers, performance auditors, ... Close relatives or casual acquaintances ? Analysing concepts used within the field of performance auditing, Paper for the 9th Biennial CIGAR Workshop Tilburg, 17- 18 September 2008, 39 p.
939. Weets, K., Bouckaert, G. (2009), De praktijk van prestatie-audit in Vlaanderen: uitdagingen en trends, *VTOM*, 2009, Nr. 2, 4-13
940. Weets, K. (2011), The impact of performance at local government level: a multiple case study within the city audit office of Rotterdam, in: Lonsdale, J., Wilkins, P., Ling, T. (eds.), *Performance Auditing: Contributing to Accountability in Democratic Government*, Edward Elgar, p. 248-267
941. Weirich, D. (2018), Performance auditing in Germany concerning environmental issues, *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, Vol. 9 Issue: 1, 29-42
942. Wheat, E.M. (1991), The Activist Auditor: A New Player in State and Local Politics, *Public Administration Review*, September /October 1991, Vol. 51, No 5, 385-392
943. White, F., Hollingworth, K. (1999), *Audit, Accountability and Government*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 221 p.
944. Widhi Setyo Pratama (2019), Perception of Auditors and Auditee on Public Sector Performance Audits, *Policy & Governance Review*, Vol 3 (1), 60-72

945. Wilkins, P. (1995), Performing Auditors ? Assessing and Reporting the Performance of National Audit Offices - A Three Country Comparison, *Australian Journal of Public Administration*, Vol. 54 No. 4, 421-430
946. Wilkins, P., Mayne, J. (2002), Providing Assurance on Performance Reports: Two jurisdictions compared, Office of the Auditor-General for Western Australia, 13 p.
947. Wilkins, P., Lonsdale, J. (2007), Public Sector Auditing for Accountability: New Directions, New Tricks ?, in: Bemelmans-Videc, M.L., Lonsdale, J., Perrin, B (2007) *Making accountability work: dilemmas for Evaluation and for Audit*, Transaction Publishers, U.S., New Jersey, 296 p.
948. Wilkins, P. (2010), Viewing Performance Through Different Lenses - The Role of organizational context and culture, paper to be presented for the International Conference on Public Administration, Canberra, 8 p.
949. Wilkins, P., Boyle, R. (2011), Quality and standards, in: Lonsdale, J., Wilkins, P., Ling, T. (eds.), *Performance Auditing: Contributing to Accountability in Democratic Government*, Edward Elgar, 368 p., p. 147-171
950. Wilkins P. (2015), Watchdogs as Satellites of Parliament. *Australian Journal of Public Administration* 75(1), 18–27
951. Wilkins, P., Phillimore, J., Gilchrist, D. (2017), Collaboration by the public sector: findings by watchdogs in Australia and New Zealand, *Public Money & Management*, 37:3, 217-224
952. Wilkins P., Phillimore J., Gilchrist D. (2017), Benefits and Risks of Collaboration between Watchdogs: The Western Australian Experience. *Policy Studies* 38, 291–310
953. Wilkins P. (2019), Watching the Watchdogs: How Auditing is Contributing to Governance. In: Pilcher R, Gilchrist D (eds), *Public Sector Accounting, Accountability and Governance: Globalising the Experiences of Australia and New Zealand*, Routledge, 80–91
954. Wilkins, P. (2020), Framing recommendations, in: Barrados, M., Lonsdale, J., *Crossover of Audit and Evaluation Practices: Challenges and Opportunities*, Routledge, 247 p.
955. Wille, A., Bovens, M. (2020), Watching EU watchdogs assessing the accountability powers of the European Court of auditors and the European Ombudsman, *Journal of European Integration*, 24 p.
956. Woodside , A.G., Xin Xia , Crotts , J.C., Clement, J.C. (2016), Best and Worst Practices in Management Performance Audits: Constructing and Testing an Algorithmic Model, in Arch G. Woodside (ed.) *Making Tough Decisions Well and Badly: Framing, Deciding, Implementing, Assessing* (Advances in Business Marketing and Purchasing, Volume 24) Emerald Group Publishing Limited, pp.19 – 51
957. World Bank (2020), *The Quality of Audits by Supreme Audit Institutions: A Review of Compliance with International Standards of Supreme Audit Institutions*. Washington DC, 44 p.
958. World Bank (2021), *Supreme Audit Institutions Independence Index : 2021 Global Synthesis Report*, 39 p.
959. Xhani, N., Avram, M., Avram, C.D. (2020), Communication of National Audit Agencies: Case study of Romania and Albania, *Journal of Eastern Europe Research in Business and Economics*, 13 p.

960. Yamamoto, K., Watanabe, M. (1989), Performance auditing in the central government of Japan, *Financial Accountability and Management*, Vol. 5, Issue 4, 199-217
961. Yamamoto, K., Kim, M.J. (2019), Stakeholders' approach on government auditing in the supreme audit institutions of Japan and Korea, *Financial Accountability & Management*, Vol.35(3), 217-232
962. Yamashige, S. (2001), Performance auditing from the Viewpoint of Equity, *Government Auditing Review*, Board of Audit of Japan, 51-62
963. Yeboah-Assiamah, E. (2017), 'Strong Personalities' and 'Strong Institutions' Mediated by a 'Strong Third Force': Thinking 'Systems' in Corruption Control, *Public Organization Review*, 17, 545–562
964. Yetano, A., Torres, L., Pina, V. (2013), Are Performance Audits useful ? The EU Experience, The APIRA Conference Committee, Kobe, Japan, 2013, 28 p.
965. Yetano, A. (2014), La difusión de la auditoría operativa en el ámbito regional. Un estudio comparado del Reino Unido, Alemania y España (*The Diffusion of Value for Money Auditing at Regional Level: a Comparative Study of the United Kingdom, Germany and Spain*), *Gestion Y Politica Publica*, 2014, Vol.23(2), 421-464
966. Yetano, A., Castillejos, B.I. (2019), Auditorias de desempeno en America Latina ?Mejoran la confianza en los gobiernos? (*Performance Audit in Latin America: Improving Trust in Governments?*) *Gestion y Politica Publica*, Vol.28(2), 34 p.
967. Yetano, A., Torres, L., Castillejos-Suastegui, B. (2019), Are Latin American performance audits leading to changes ? *International Journal of Auditing*, Vol. 23 (3), 444-456
968. Yule, P. (2002), In the public interest: 150 years of the Victorian Auditor-General's office, *VAGO*, 284 p.
969. Yule, P. (2002), The early auditors-general and the crisis in public finance, 1853–55. *Victorian Historical Journal*, 73(2), 202–220
970. Zacchea, N. (1992), Technology Transfer: From Financial to Performance Auditing, *Managerial Auditing Journal*, Vol. 7, No. 1
971. Zaharov, V., Gulyaev, I., Selyukov, M., Shalygina, N., Slashcheva, I. (2017), Transformation Approach to Implementation of Transparency of the Russian Supreme Audit Institution Activity. *Journal of History Culture and Art Research*, 6 (3), 1527-1537
972. Zuccolotto, R., Teixeira, M.A.C. (2014), Budgetary Transparency and Democracy: The Effectiveness of Control Institutions, *International Business Research*, Vol.7(6), p. 83-96